Chapter 11: Cipher Techniques

- Some Problems
- Types of Ciphers
- Networks
- Examples

Overview

- Problems
	- What can go wrong if you naively use ciphers
- Cipher types
	- Stream or block ciphers?
- Networks
	- Link vs end-to-end use
- Examples
	- Privacy-Enhanced Electronic Mail (PEM)
	- Secure Socket Layer (SSL)
	- Security at the Network Layer (IPsec)

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-2

Problems

- Using cipher requires knowledge of environment, and threats in the environment, in which cipher will be used
	- Is the set of possible messages small?
	- Do the messages exhibit regularities that remain after encipherment?
	- Can an active wiretapper rearrange or change parts of the message?

Attack #1: Precomputation

- Set of possible messages *M* small
- Public key cipher *f* used
- Idea: precompute set of possible ciphertexts $f(M)$, build table $(m, f(m))$
- When ciphertext $f(m)$ appears, use table to find *m*
- Also called *forward searches*

Example

- Cathy knows Alice will send Bob one of two messages: enciphered BUY, or enciphered SELL
- Using public key e_{Bob} , Cathy precomputes $m_1 = \{ BUY \} e_{Bob}, m_2 = \{ SELL \} e_{Bob}$
- Cathy sees Alice send Bob m_2
- Cathy knows Alice sent SELL

May Not Be Obvious

- Digitized sound
	- Seems like far too many possible plaintexts
		- Initial calculations suggest 2^{32} such plaintexts
	- Analysis of redundancy in human speech reduced this to about 100,000 ($\approx 2^{17}$)
		- This is small enough to worry about precomputation attacks

Misordered Blocks

- Alice sends Bob message
	- $n_{Bob} = 77$, $e_{Bob} = 17$, $d_{Bob} = 53$
	- Message is LIVE (11 08 21 04)
	- Enciphered message is 44 57 21 16
- Eve intercepts it, rearranges blocks
	- Now enciphered message is 16 21 57 44
- Bob gets enciphered message, deciphers it – He sees EVIL

Notes

- Digitally signing each block won't stop this attack
- Two approaches:
	- Cryptographically hash the *entire* message and sign it
	- Place sequence numbers in each block of message, so recipient can tell intended order
		- Then you sign each block

Statistical Regularities

- If plaintext repeats, ciphertext may too
- Example using DES:
	- input (in hex):

3231 3433 3635 3837 3231 3433 3635 3837

– corresponding output (in hex):

ef7c 4bb2 b4ce 6f3b ef7c 4bb2 b4ce 6f3b

- Fix: cascade blocks together (chaining)
	- More details later

What These Mean

- Use of strong cryptosystems, well-chosen (or random) keys not enough to be secure
- Other factors:
	- Protocols directing use of cryptosystems
	- Ancillary information added by protocols
	- Implementation (not discussed here)
	- Maintenance and operation (not discussed here)

Stream, Block Ciphers

- *E* encipherment function
	- *Ek*(*b*) encipherment of message *b* with key *k*
	- In what follows, $m = b_1 b_2 \dots$, each b_i of fixed length
- Block cipher
	- $E_k(m) = E_k(b_1) E_k(b_2) ...$
- Stream cipher
	- $k = k_1 k_2 ...$
	- $E_k(m) = E_{k1}(b_1) E_{k2}(b_2) ...$
	- $-$ If k_1k_2 ... repeats itself, cipher is *periodic* and the kength of its period is one cycle of $k_1k_2...$

Examples

- Vigenère cipher
	- $b_i = 1$ character, $k = k_1 k_2 ...$ where $k_i = 1$ character
	- Each b_i enciphered using k_i mod length(k)
	- Stream cipher
- DES
	- $b_i = 64 \text{ bits}, k = 56 \text{ bits}$
	- $-$ Each b_i enciphered separately using k
	- Block cipher

Stream Ciphers

- Often (try to) implement one-time pad by xor'ing each bit of key with one bit of message
	- Example:
- $m = 00101$ $k = 10010$ $c = 10111$ • But how to generate a good key?

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-13

Synchronous Stream Ciphers

- *n*-stage Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
	- n bit register $r = r_0...r_{n-1}$
	- n bit tap sequence $t = t_0 \dots t_{n-1}$
	- Use:
		- Use r_{n-1} as key bit
		- Compute $x = r_0 t_0 \oplus ... \oplus r_{n-1} t_{n-1}$
		- Shift *r* one bit to right, dropping r_{n-1} , *x* becomes r_0

Operation

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-15

Example

• 4 -stage LFSR; $t = 1001$

– Key sequence has period of 15 (010001111010110)

NLFSR

- n-stage Non-Linear Feedback Shift Register: consists of
	- n bit register $r = r_0...r_{n-1}$
	- Use:
		- Use r_{n-1} as key bit
		- Compute $x = f(r_0, \ldots, r_{n-1})$; *f* is any function
		- Shift *r* one bit to right, dropping r_{n-1} , *x* becomes r_0 Note same operation as LFSR but more general bit replacement function

Example

– Key sequence has period of 4 (0011)

Eliminating Linearity

- NLFSRs not common
	- No body of theory about how to design them to have long period
- Alternate approach: *output feedback mode*
	- For *E* encipherment function, *k* key, *r* register:
		- Compute $r' = E_k(r)$; key bit is rightmost bit of r'
		- Set *r* to *r'* and iterate, repeatedly enciphering register and extracting key bits, until message enciphered
	- Variant: use a counter that is incremented for each encipherment rather than a register
		- Take rightmost bit of $E_k(i)$, where *i* is number of encipherment

Self-Synchronous Stream Cipher

- Take key from message itself (*autokey*)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from plaintext
	- *key* XTHEBOYHASTHEBA
	- *plaintext* THEBOYHASTHEBAG
	- *ciphertext* QALFPNFHSLALFCT
- Problem:
	- Statistical regularities in plaintext show in key
	- Once you get any part of the message, you can decipher more

Another Example

- Take key from ciphertext (*autokey*)
- Example: Vigenère, key drawn from ciphertext
	- *key* XQXBCQOVVNGNRTT
	- *plaintext* THEBOYHASTHEBAG
	- *ciphertext* QXBCQOVVNGNRTTM
- Problem:
	- Attacker gets key along with ciphertext, so deciphering is trivial

Variant

- Cipher feedback mode: 1 bit of ciphertext fed into *n* bit register
	- Self-healing property: if ciphertext bit received incorrectly, it and next *n* bits decipher incorrectly; but after that, the ciphertext bits decipher correctly
	- Need to know *k*, *E* to decipher ciphertext

Block Ciphers

- Encipher, decipher multiple bits at once
- Each block enciphered independently
- Problem: identical plaintext blocks produce identical ciphertext blocks
	- Example: two database records
		- MEMBER: HOLLY INCOME \$100,000
		- MEMBER: HEIDI INCOME \$100,000
	- Encipherment:
		- ABCQZRME GHQMRSIB CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN
		- ABCQZRME ORMPABRZ CTXUVYSS RMGRPFQN

Solutions

- Insert information about block's position into the plaintext block, then encipher
- *Cipher block chaining*:
	- Exclusive-or current plaintext block with previous ciphertext block:
		- $c_0 = E_k(m_0 \oplus I)$
		- $c_i = E_k(m_i \oplus c_{i-1})$ for $i > 0$

where *I* is the initialization vector

Multiple Encryption

- Double encipherment: $c = E_k(E_k(m))$
	- Effective key length is 2*n*, if *k*, *k*′ are length *n*
	- $-$ Problem: breaking it requires 2^{n+1} encryptions, not 2^{2n} encryptions
- Triple encipherment:
	- $-$ EDE mode: $c = E_k(D_k(E_k(m)))$
		- Problem: chosen plaintext attack takes O(2*ⁿ*) time using 2*ⁿ* ciphertexts
	- $-$ Triple encryption mode: $c = E_k(E_k(E_{k'}(m)))$
		- Best attack requires $O(2^{2n})$ time, $O(2^n)$ memory

Networks and Cryptography

- ISØOSI model
- Conceptually, each host has peer at each layer
	- Peers communicate with peers at same layer

Link and End-to-End Protocols

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-27

Encryption

- Link encryption
	- Each host enciphers message so host at "next hop" can read it
	- Message can be read at intermediate hosts
- End-to-end encryption
	- Host enciphers message so host at other end of communication can read it
	- Message cannot be read at intermediate hosts

Examples

- TELNET protocol
	- Messages between client, server enciphered, and encipherment, decipherment occur only at these hosts
	- End-to-end protocol
- PPP Encryption Control Protocol
	- Host gets message, deciphers it
		- Figures out where to forward it
		- Enciphers it in appropriate key and forwards it
	- Link protocol

Cryptographic Considerations

- Link encryption
	- Each host shares key with neighbor
	- Can be set on per-host or per-host-pair basis
		- Windsor, stripe, seaview each have own keys
		- One key for (windsor, stripe); one for (stripe, seaview); one for (windsor, seaview)
- End-to-end
	- Each host shares key with destination
	- Can be set on per-host or per-host-pair basis
	- Message cannot be read at intermediate nodes

Traffic Analysis

- Link encryption
	- Can protect headers of packets
	- Possible to hide source and destination
		- Note: may be able to deduce this from traffic flows
- End-to-end encryption
	- Cannot hide packet headers
		- Intermediate nodes need to route packet
	- Attacker can read source, destination

Example Protocols

- Privacy-Enhanced Electronic Mail (PEM)
	- Applications layer protocol
- Secure Socket Layer *(SSL)*
	- Transport layer protocol
- IP Security (IPSec)
	- Network layer protocol

Goals of PEM

- 1. Confidentiality
	- Only sender and recipient(s) can read message
- 2. Origin authentication
	- Identify the sender precisely
- 3. Data integrity
	- Any changes in message are easy to detect
- 4. Non-repudiation of origin
	- Whenever possible ...

Message Handling System

Design Principles

- Do not change related existing protocols – Cannot alter SMTP
- Do not change existing software
	- Need compatibility with existing software
- Make use of PEM optional
	- Available if desired, but email still works without them
	- Some recipients may use it, others not
- Enable communication without prearrangement
	- Out-of-bands authentication, key exchange problematic

Basic Design: Keys

- Two keys
	- *Interchange keys* tied to sender, recipients and is static (for some set of messages)
		- Like a public/private key pair
		- Must be available *before* messages sent
	- *Data exchange keys* generated for each message
		- Like a session key, session being the message
Basic Design: Sending

Confidentiality

- • *m* message
- k_s data exchange key
- k_B Bob's interchange key

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Basic Design: Integrity

Integrity and authentication:

- • *m* message
- $h(m)$ hash of message m —Message Integrity Check (MIC)
- k_A Alice's interchange key

$$
\text{Alice} \quad \frac{m \{ h(m) \} \, k_A}{\longrightarrow} \text{Bob}
$$

Non-repudiation: if k_A is Alice's private key, this establishes that Alice's private key was used to sign the message

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-38

Basic Design: Everything

Confidentiality, integrity, authentication:

- Notations as in previous slides
- If k_A is private key, get non-repudiation too

$$
\{ m \} k_s \parallel \{ h(m) \} k_A \parallel \{ k_s \} k_B
$$

Alice \longrightarrow Bob

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Practical Considerations

- Limits of SMTP
	- Only ASCII characters, limited length lines
- Use encoding procedure
	- 1. Map local char representation into canonical format
		- Format meets SMTP requirements
	- 2. Compute and encipher MIC over the canonical format; encipher message if needed
	- 3. Map each 6 bits of result into a character; insert newline after every 64th character
	- 4. Add delimiters around this ASCII message

Problem

- Recipient without PEM-compliant software cannot read it
	- If only integrity and authentication used, should be able to read it
- Mode MIC-CLEAR allows this
	- Skip step 3 in encoding procedure
	- Problem: some MTAs add blank lines, delete trailing white space, or change end of line character
	- Result: PEM-compliant software reports integrity failure

PEM vs. PGP

- Use different ciphers
	- PGP uses IDEA cipher
	- PEM uses DES in CBC mode
- Use different certificate models
	- PGP uses general "web of trust"
	- PEM uses hierarchical certification structure
- Handle end of line differently
	- PGP remaps end of line if message tagged "text" , but leaves them alone if message tagged "binary"
	- PEM always remaps end of line

SSL

- Transport layer security
	- Provides confidentiality, integrity, authentication of endpoints
	- Developed by Netscape for WWW browsers and servers
- Internet protocol version: TLS
	- Compatible with SSL
	- Not yet formally adopted

SSL Session

- Association between two peers
	- May have many associated connections
	- Information for each association:
		- Unique session identifier
		- Peer's X.509v3 certificate, if needed
		- Compression method
		- Cipher spec for cipher and MAC
		- "Master secret" shared with peer
			- -48 bits

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

SSL Connection

- Describes how data exchanged with peer
- Information for each connection
	- Random data
	- Write keys (used to encipher data)
	- Write MAC key (used to compute MAC)
	- Initialization vectors for ciphers, if needed
	- Sequence numbers

Structure of SSL

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Supporting Crypto

- All parts of SSL use them
- Initial phase: public key system exchanges keys
	- Messages enciphered using classical ciphers, checksummed using cryptographic checksums
	- Only certain combinations allowed
		- Depends on algorithm for interchange cipher
	- Interchange algorithms: RSA, Diffie-Hellman, Fortezza

RSA: Cipher, MAC Algorithms

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Diffie-Hellman: Types

- Diffie-Hellman: certificate contains D-H parameters, signed by a CA
	- DSS or RSA algorithms used to sign
- Ephemeral Diffie-Hellman: DSS or RSA certificate used to sign D-H parameters

– Parameters not reused, so not in certificate

- Anonymous Diffie-Hellman: D-H with neither party authenticated
	- Use is "strongly discouraged" as it is vulnerable to attacks

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-49

D-H: Cipher, MAC Algorithms

Ephemeral D-H: Cipher, MAC Algorithms

Anonymous D-H: Cipher, MAC Algorithms

Fortezza: Cipher, MAC Algorithms

Digital Signatures

- RSA
	- Concatenate MD5 and SHA hashes
	- Sign with public key
- Diffie-Hellman, Fortezza
	- Compute SHA hash
	- Sign appropriately

SSL Record Layer

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-55

Record Protocol Overview

- Lowest layer, taking messages from higher
	- Max block size 16,384 bytes
	- Bigger messages split into multiple blocks
- Construction
	- $-$ Block *b* compressed; call it b_c
	- $-$ MAC computed for b_c
		- If MAC key not selected, no MAC computed
	- b_c , MAC enciphered
		- If enciphering key not selected, no enciphering done
	- SSL record header prepended

SSL MAC Computation

- Symbols
	- *h* hash function (MD5 or SHA)
	- k_w write MAC key of entity
	- $-pipad = 0x36, opad = 0x5C$
		- Repeated to block length (from HMAC)
	- *seq* sequence number
	- *SSL_comp* message type
	- *SSL_len* block length
- MAC

 $h(k_w||opad||h(k_w||ipad||seq||SSL_comp||SSL_len||block)$)

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-57

SSL Handshake Protocol

- Used to initiate connection
	- Sets up parameters for record protocol
	- 4 rounds
- Upper layer protocol
	- Invokes Record Protocol
- Note: what follows assumes client, server using RSA as interchange cryptosystem

Overview of Rounds

- 1. Create SSL connection between client, server
- 2. Server authenticates itself
- 3. Client validates server, begins key exchange
- 4. Acknowledgments all around

msgs Concatenation of previous messages sent/received this handshake *opad*, *ipad* As above

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-62

SSL Change Cipher Spec Protocol

- Send single byte
- In handshake, new parameters considered "pending" until this byte received
	- Old parameters in use, so cannot just switch to new ones

SSL Alert Protocol

- Closure alert
	- Sender will send no more messages
	- Pending data delivered; new messages ignored
- Error alerts
	- Warning: connection remains open
	- Fatal error: connection torn down as soon as sent or received

SSL Alert Protocol Errors

- Always fatal errors:
	- unexpected_message, bad_record_mac, decompression_failure, handshake_failure, illegal_parameter
- May be warnings or fatal errors:
	- no_certificate, bad_certificate, unsupported_certificate, certificate_revoked, certificate_expired, certificate_unknown

SSL Application Data Protocol

• Passes data from application to SSL Record Protocol layer

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

IPsec

- Network layer security
	- Provides confidentiality, integrity, authentication of endpoints, replay detection
- Protects all messages sent along a path

IPsec Transport Mode

- Encapsulate IP packet data area
- Use IP to send IPsec-wrapped data packet
- Note: IP header not protected

IPsec Tunnel Mode

- Encapsulate IP packet (IP header *and* IP data)
- Use IP to send IPsec-wrapped packet
- Note: IP header protected

IPsec Protocols

- Authentication Header (AH)
	- Message integrity
	- Origin authentication
	- Anti-replay
- Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)
	- Confidentiality
	- Others provided by AH

IPsec Architecture

- Security Policy Database *(SPD)*
	- Says how to handle messages (discard them, add security services, forward message unchanged)
	- SPD associated with network interface
	- SPD determines appropriate entry from packet attributes
		- Including source, destination, transport protocol
Example

- Goals
	- Discard SMTP packets from host 192.168.2.9
	- Forward packets from 192.168.19.7 without change

• SPD entries

src 192.168.2.9, dest 10.1.2.3 to 10.1.2.103, port 25, discard src 192.168.19.7, dest 10.1.2.3 to 10.1.2.103, port 25, bypass dest 10.1.2.3 to 10.1.2.103, port 25, apply IPsec

- Note: entries scanned in order
	- If no match for packet, it is discarded

IPsec Architecture

- Security Association (SA)
	- Association between peers for security services
		- Identified uniquely by dest address, security protocol (AH or ESP), unique 32-bit number (security parameter index, or SPI)
	- Unidirectional
		- Can apply different services in either direction
	- SA uses either ESP or AH; if both required, 2 SAs needed

SA Database (SAD)

- Entry describes SA; some fields for all packets:
	- AH algorithm identifier, keys
		- When SA uses AH
	- ESP encipherment algorithm identifier, keys
		- When SA uses confidentiality from ESP
	- ESP authentication algorithm identifier, keys
		- When SA uses authentication, integrity from ESP
	- SA lifetime (time for deletion or max byte count)
	- IPsec mode (tunnel, transport, either)

SAD Fields

- Antireplay (inbound only)
	- When SA uses antireplay feature
- Sequence number counter (outbound only)
	- Generates AH or ESP sequence number
- Sequence counter overflow field
	- Stops traffic over this SA if sequence counter overflows
- Aging variables
	- Used to detect time-outs

IPsec Architecture

- Packet arrives
- Look in SPD
	- Find appropriate entry
	- Get dest address, security protocol, SPI
- Find associated SA in SAD
	- Use dest address, security protocol, SPI
	- Apply security services in SA (if any)

SA Bundles and Nesting

- Sequence of SAs that IPsec applies to packets
	- This is a *SA bundle*
- Nest tunnel mode SAs
	- This is *iterated tunneling*

Example: Nested Tunnels

- Group in A.org needs to communicate with group in B.org
- Gateways of A, B use IPsec mechanisms
	- But the information must be secret to everyone except the two groups, even secret from other people in A.org and B.org
- Inner tunnel: a SA between the hosts of the two groups
- Outer tunnel: the SA between the two gateways

Example: Systems

Example: Packets

- Packet generated on hostA
- Encapsulated by hostA's IPsec mechanisms
- Again encapsulated by gwA's IPsec mechanisms
	- Above diagram shows headers, but as you go left, everything to the right would be enciphered and authenticated, *etc*.

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-81

AH Protocol

- Parameters in AH header
	- Length of header
	- SPI of SA applying protocol
	- Sequence number (anti-replay)
	- Integrity value check
- Two steps
	- Check that replay is not occurring
	- Check authentication data

Sender

- Check sequence number will not cycle
- Increment sequence number
- Compute IVC of packet
	- Includes IP header, AH header, packet data
		- IP header: include all fields that will not change in transit; assume all others are 0
		- AH header: authentication data field set to 0 for this
		- Packet data includes encapsulated data, higher level protocol data

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Recipient

- Assume AH header found
- Get SPI, destination address
- Find associated SA in SAD
	- If no associated SA, discard packet
- If antireplay not used
	- Verify IVC is correct
		- If not, discard

Recipient, Using Antireplay

- Check packet beyond low end of sliding window
- Check IVC of packet
- Check packet's slot not occupied
	- If any of these is false, discard packet

AH Miscellany

- All implementations must support: HMAC_MD5 HMAC_SHA-1
- May support other algorithms

ESP Protocol

- Parameters in ESP header
	- SPI of SA applying protocol
	- Sequence number (anti-replay)
	- Generic "payload data" field
	- Padding and length of padding
		- Contents depends on ESP services enabled; may be an initialization vector for a chaining cipher, for example
		- Used also to pad packet to length required by cipher
	- Optional authentication data field

Sender

- Add ESP header
	- Includes whatever padding needed
- Encipher result
	- Do not encipher SPI, sequence numbers
- If authentication desired, compute as for AH protocol *except* over ESP header, payload and *not* encapsulating IP header

Recipient

- Assume ESP header found
- Get SPI, destination address
- Find associated SA in SAD
	- If no associated SA, discard packet
- If authentication used
	- Do IVC, antireplay verification as for AH
		- Only ESP, payload are considered; *not* IP header
		- Note authentication data inserted after encipherment, so no deciphering need be done

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-89

Recipient

- If confidentiality used
	- Decipher enciphered portion of ESP heaser
	- Process padding
	- Decipher payload
	- If SA is transport mode, IP header and payload treated as original IP packet
	- If SA is tunnel mode, payload is an encapsulated IP packet and so is treated as original IP packet

ESP Miscellany

- Must use at least one of confidentiality, authentication services
- Synchronization material must be in payload
	- Packets may not arrive in order, so if not, packets following a missing packet may not be decipherable
- Implementations of ESP assume classical cryptosystem
	- Implementations of public key systems usually far slower than implementations of classical systems
	- Not required

More ESP Miscellany

• All implementations must support (encipherment algorithms):

DES in CBC mode

NULL algorithm (identity; no encipherment)

• All implementations must support (integrity algorithms):

HMAC_MD5

HMAC_SHA-1

NULL algorithm (no MAC computed)

• Both cannot be NULL at the same time

June 1, 2004 *Computer Security: Art and Science* ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

Slide #11-92

Which to Use: PEM, SSL, IPsec

- What do the security services apply to?
	- If applicable to one application *and* application layer mechanisms available, use that
		- PEM for electronic mail
	- If more generic services needed, look to lower layers
		- SSL for transport layer, end-to-end mechanism
		- IPsec for network layer, either end-to-end or link mechanisms, for connectionless channels as well as connections
	- If endpoint is host, SSL and IPsec sufficient; if endpoint is user, application layer mechanism such as PEM needed

Key Points

- Key management critical to effective use of cryptosystems
	- Different levels of keys (session *vs*. interchange)
- Keys need infrastructure to identify holders, allow revoking
	- Key escrowing complicates infrastructure
- Digital signatures provide integrity of origin and content

Much easier with public key cryptosystems than with classical cryptosystems