### Chapter 28: User Security

- Policy
- Access
- Files, devices
- Processes
- Electronic communications

# Policy

- Assume user is on Drib development network
  - Policy usually highly informal and in the mind of the user
- Our users' policy:
  - U1 Only users have access to their accounts
  - U2 No other user can read, change file without owner's permission
  - U3 Users shall protect integrity, confidentiality, availability of their files
  - U4 Users shall be aware of all commands that they enter or that are entered on their behalf

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-2

#### Access

- U1: users must protect access to their accounts
  - Consider points of entry to accounts
- Passwords
- Login procedure
- Leaving system

#### Passwords

- Theory: writing down passwords is **BAD**!
- Reality: choosing passwords randomly makes them hard to remember
  - If you need passwords for many systems, assigning random passwords and *not* writing something down won't work
- Problem: Someone can read the written password
- Reality: degree of danger depends on environment, how you record password

### Isolated System

- System used to create boot CD-ROM
  - In locked room; system can *only* be accessed from within that room
    - No networks, modems, etc.
  - Only authorized users have keys
- Write password on whiteboard in room
  - Only people who will see it are authorized to see it

# Multiple Systems

- Non-infrastructure systems: have users use same password
  - Done via centralized user database shared by all non-infrastructure systems
- Infrastructure systems: users may have multiple accounts on single system, or may not use centralized database
  - Write down transformations of passwords

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

#### Infrastructure Passwords

- Drib devnet has 10 infrastructure systems, 2 lead admins (Anne, Paul)
  - Both require privileged access to all systems
  - root, Administrator passwords chosen randomly
- How to remember? Memorize an algorithm!
  - Anne: "change case of 3rd letter, delete last char"
  - Paul: "add 2 mod 10 to first digit, delete first letter"
- Each gets printout of transformed password

#### Papers for Anne and Paul

Actual password	Anne's version	Paul's version
C04cEJxX	C04ceJxX5	RC84cEJxX
4VX9q3GA	4VX9Q3GA2	a2VX9q3GA
8798Qqdt	8798QqDt\$	67f98Qqdt
3WXYwgnw	3WXywgnwS	Z1WXYwgnw
feOioC4f	feoioC4f9	YfeOioC2f
VRd0Hj9E	VRD0Hj9Eq	pVRd8Hj9E
e7Bukcba	e7BUkcbaX	Xe5Bukcba
ywyj5cVw	ywYj5cVw*	rywyj3cVw
5iUikLB4	5iUIkLB4m	3JiUikLB4
af4hC2kg	af4HC2kg+	daf2hC2kg

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-8

#### Non-Infrastructure Passwords

- Users can pick
  - Proactive password checker vets proposed password
- Recommended method: passwords based on obscure poems or sayings
  - Example: "ttrs&vmbi" from first letter of second, fourth words of each line, putting "&" between them:

He took his vorpal sword in hand:

Long time the manxome foe he sought—

So rested he by the Tumtum tree,

And stood awhile in thought.

Third verse of Jabberwocky, from Alice in Wonderland

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

# Analysis

- Isolated system meets U1
  - Only authorized users can enter room, read password, access system
- Infrastructure systems meet U1
  - Actual passwords not written down
  - Anne, Paul don't write down algorithms
  - Stealing papers does not reveal passwords
- Non-infrastructure systems meet U1
  - Proactive password checker rejects easy to guess passwords

## Login Procedure

- User obtains a prompt at which to enter name
- Then comes password prompt
- Attacks:
  - Lack of mutual authentication
  - Reading password as it is entered
  - Untrustworthy trusted hosts

### Lack of Mutual Authentication

- How does user know she is interacting with legitimate login procedure?
  - Attacker can have Trojan horse emulate login procedure and record name, password, then print error message and spawn real login
- Simple approach: if name, password entered incorrectly, prompt for retry differed
  In UNIX V6, it said "Name" rather than "login"

## More Complicated

- Attack program feeds name, password to legitimate login program on behalf of user, so user logged in without realizing attack program is an intermediary
- Approach: trusted path
  - Example: to log in, user hits specified sequence of keys; this traps to kernel, which then performs login procedure; key is that no application program can disable this feature, or intercept or modify data sent along this path

### Reading Password As Entered

- Attacker remembers it, uses it later
  - Sometimes called "shoulder surfing"
  - Can also read chars from kernel tables, passive wiretapping, etc.
- Approach: encipher all network traffic to defeat passive wiretapping
  - Drib: firewalls block traffic to and from Internet, internal hosts trusted not to capture network traffic
  - Elsewhere: use SSH, SSL, TLS to provide encrypted tunnels for other protocols or to provide encrypted login facilities

# Noticing Previous Logins

- Many systems print time, location (terminal) of last login
  - If either is wrong, probably someone has unauthorized access to account; needs to be investigated
- Requires user to be somewhat alert during login

## Untrustworthy Trusted Hosts

- Idea: if two hosts under same administrative control, each can rely on authentication from other
- Drib does this for backups
  - Backup system logs into workstation as user "backup"
    - If password required, administrator password needs to be on backup system; considered unacceptable risk
    - Solution: all systems trust backup server
- Requires accurate identification of remote host
  - Usually IP address
  - Drib uses challenge-response based on cryptography

# Analysis

- Mutual authentication meets U1
  - Trusted path used when available; other times, system prints time, place of last login
- Protecting passwords meets U1
  - Unencrypted passwords only placed on trusted network; also, system prints time, place of last login
- Trusted hosts meets U1
  - Based on cryptography, not IP addresses; number of trusted systems minimal (backup system only)

## Leaving the System

• People not authorized to use systems have access to rooms where systems are

– Custodians, maintenance workers, etc.

- Once authenticated, users must control access to their session until it ends
  - What to do when one goes to bathroom?
- Procedures used here

## Walking Away

- Procedures require user to lock monitor
  - Example: X window system: *xlock* 
    - Only user, system administrator can unlock monitor
  - Note: be sure locking program does not have master override
    - Example: one version of lock program allowed anyone to enter "Hasta la vista!" to unlock monitor

#### Modems

- Terminates sessions when remote user hangs up
  - Problem: this is configurable; may have to set physical switch
    - If not done, next to call in connects to previous user's session
  - Problem: older telephone systems may mishandle propagation of call termination
    - New connection arrives at telco switch and is forwarded before termination signal arrives at modem
    - Same effect as above
- Drib: no modems connected to development systems

# Analysis

- Procedures about walking away meet U1
  - Screen locking programs required, as is locking doors when leaving office; failure to do so involves disciplinary action
  - If screen locking password forgotten, system administrators can remotely access system and terminate program
- Procedures about modems meet U1
  - No modems allowed; hooking one up means getting fired (or similar nasty action)

#### Files and Devices

• File protection allows users to refine protection afforded their data

– Policy component U2 requires this

• Users manipulate system through devices, so their protection affects user protection as well

– Policy components U1, U4 require this

### Files

- Often different ways to do one thing
  - UNIX systems: Pete wants to allow Deb to read file *design*, but no-one else to do so
    - If Pete, Deb have their own group, make file owned by that group and group readable but not readable by others
    - If Deb only member of a group, Pete can give group ownership of file to Deb and set permissions appropriately
    - Pete can set permissions of containing directory to allow himself, Deb's group search permission
  - Windows NT: same problem
    - Use ACL with entries for Pete, Deb only:

 $\{\ (\ Pete,\ full\ control\ ),\ (\ Deb,\ read\ )\ \}$ 

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-23

#### File Permission on Creation

- Use template to set or modify permissions when file created
  - Windows NT: new directory inherits parent's ACL
  - UNIX systems: identify permissions to be denied
    - *umask* contains permissions to be disabled, so can say "always turn off write permission for everyone but owner when file created"

### Group Access

- Provides set of users with same rights
- Advantage: use group as role
  - All folks working on Widget-NG product in group *widgetng*
  - All files for that product group readable, writable by *widgetng*
  - Membership changes require adding users to, dropping users from group
    - No changes to file permissions required

### Group Access

- Disadvantage: use group as abbreviation for set of users; changes to group may allow unauthorized access or deny authorized access
  - Maria wants Anne, Joan to be able to read *movie*
  - System administrator puts all in group *maj*
  - Later: sysadmin needs to create group with Maria, Anne, Joan, and Lorraine
    - Adds Lorraine to group *maj*
    - Now Lorraine can read *movie* even though Maria didn't want her to be able to do so

### File Deletion

- Is the *name* or the *object* deleted?
- Terms
  - File attribute table: contains information about file
  - File mapping table: contains information allowing OS to access disk blocks belonging to file
  - Direct alias: directory entry naming file
  - Indirect alias: directory entry naming special file containing name of target file
- Each direct alias is alternative name for same file

## Rights and Aliases

- Each direct alias can have different permissions
  - Owner must change access modes of each alias in order to control access
- Generally false
  - File attribute table contains access permissions for each file
    - So users can use any alias; rights the same

#### Deletion

- Removes directory entry of file
  - If no more directory entries, data blocks and table entries released too
  - Note: deleting directory entry does *not* mean file is deleted!

# Example

- Anna on UNIX wants to delete file *x*, setuid to herself
  - rm x works if no-one else has a direct alias to it
  - Sandra has one, so file not deleted (but Anna's directory entry is deleted)
    - File still is setuid to Anna
- How to do this right:
  - Turn off all permissions on file
  - *Then* delete it
    - Even if others have direct links, they are not the owners and so can't change permissions or access file

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-30

#### Persistence

- Disk blocks of deleted file returned to pool of unused disk blocks
- When reassigned, new process may be able to read previous contents of disk blocks
  - Most systems offer a "wipe" or "cleaning" procedure that overwrites disk blocks with zeros or random bit patterns as part of file deletion
  - Useful when files being deleted contain sensitive data

#### Direct, Indirect Aliases

- Some commands act differently on these
  - Angie executes command to add permission to file to let Lucy read it
  - If file name direct alias, works
  - If file name indirect alias, does it add permission to the indirect alias or the file itself?
- Semantics of systems, commands on systems differ
  - Example: on RedHat Linux 7.1, when given indirect alias of file, *chmod* changes permissions of actual file, *rm* deletes indirect alias

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

# Analysis

- Use of ACLs, *umask* meet U2
  - Both set to deny permission to"other" and "group" by default; user can add permissions back
- Group access controls meet U2
  - Membership in groups tightly controlled, based on least privilege
- Deletion meets U2
  - Procedures require sensitive files be wiped when deleted

#### Devices

- Must be protected so user can control commands sent, others cannot see interactions
- Writable devices
- Smart terminals
- Monitors and window systems

#### Writable Devices

- Restrict access to these as much as possible
- Example: tapes
  - When process begins writing, ACL of device changes to prevent other processes from writing
  - Between mounting of media, process execution, another process can begin writing
  - Moral: write protect all mounted media unless it is to be written to
- Example: terminals
  - Write control sequence to erase screen—send repeatedly

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-35

### Smart Terminals

- Has built-in mechanism for performing special functions
  - Most important one: block send
  - The sequence of chars initiating block send do *not* appear on screen
- Write Trojan horse to send command from user's terminal
- Next slide: example in mail message sent to Craig
  - When Craig reads letter, his startup file becomes world writable

#### Trojan Horse Letter

```
Dear Craig,
Please be careful. Someone may ask you to execute
chmod 666 .profile
You shouldn't do it!
Your friend,
Robert
<BLOCK SEND (-2,18), (-2,18)><BLOCK SEND
(-3,0),(3,18)><CLEAR>
```

#### Why So Dangerous?

- With writable terminal, someone must trick user of that terminal into executing command; both attacker *and user* must enter commands
- With smart terminal, only attacker need enter command; if user merely reads the wrong thing, the attacker's compromise occurs

#### Monitors and Window Systems

- Window manager controls what is displayed
  - Input from input devices
  - Clients register with manager, can then receive input, send output through manager
- How does manager determine client to get input?
  - Usually client in whose window input occurs
- Attack: overlay transparent window on screen
  - Now all input goes through this window
  - So attacker sees all input to monitor, including passwords, cryptographic keys

#### Access Control

- Use ACLs, C-Lists, etc.
- Granularity varies by windowing system
- X window system: host name or token
  - Host name, called *xhost* method
  - Manager determines host on which client runs
  - Checks ACL to see if host allowed to connect

#### X Windows Tokens

- Called *xauth* method
  - X window manager given random number (*magic cookie*)
    - Stored in file ".Xauthority" in user's home directory
  - Any client trying to connect to manager must supply this magic cookie to succeed
    - Local processes run by user can access this file
    - Remote processes require special set-up by user to work

# Analysis

- Writable devices meet U1, U4
  - Devnet users have default settings denying all write access to devices except the user
- Smart terminals meet U1, U4
  - Drib does not allow use of smart terminals except on systems where *all* control sequences (such as BLOCK SEND) are shown as printable chars
- Window managers meet U1, U4
  - Drib uses either xhost or token (xhost by default) on a trusted network, so IP spoofing not an issue

#### Process

- Manipulate objects, including files
  - Policy component U3 requires users to be aware of how
- Copying, moving files
- Accidentally overwriting or erasing files
- Encryption, keys, passwords
- Start-up settings
- Limiting privileges
- Malicious logic

# Copying Files

- Duplicates contents
- Semantics determines whether attributes duplicated
  - If not, may need to set them to prevent compromise
- Example: Mona Anne copies *xyzzy* on UNIX system to *plugh:*

#### cp xyzzy plugh

- If *plugh* doesn't exist, created with attributes of *xyzzy* except any setuid, setgid discarded; contents copied
- If *plugh* exists, attributes not altered; contents copied

# Moving Files

- Semantics determines attributes
- Example: Mona Anne moves *xyzzy* to */tmp/plugh* 
  - If both on same file system, attributes unchanged
  - If on different file systems, semantically equivalent to:

cp xyzzy /tmp/plugh rm xyzzy Permissions may change ...

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

#### Accidentally Overwriting Files

- Protect users from themselves
- Example: deleting by accident
  - Intends to delete all files ending in ".o"; pattern is "\*.o", "\*" matching any string
  - Should type rm \*.o
  - Instead types rm \* .o
  - All files in directory disappear!
- Use modes to protect yourself
  - Give –i option to rm to prevent this

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

# Encryption

- Must trust system
  - Cryptographic keys visible in kernel buffers, swap space, and/or memory
  - Anyone who can alter programs used to encrypt, decrypt can acquire keys and/or contents of encrypted files
- Example: PGP, a public key encryption program
  - Protects private key with an enciphering key ("passphrase"), which user supplies to authenticate file
  - If keystroke monitor installed on system, attacker gets pass-phrase, then private key, then message

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop Slide #28-47

#### Saving Passwords

- Some systems allow users to put passwords for programs in files
  - May require file be read-protected but *not* use encryption
- Example: UNIX *ftp* clients
  - Users can store account names, host names, passwords in *.netrc*
  - Kathy did so but *ftp* ignored it
  - She found file was readable by anyone, meaning her passwords stored in it were now compromised

### Start-Up Settings

- When programs start, often take state info, commands from environment or start-up files
  - Order of access affects execution
- Example: UNIX command interpreter sh
  - When it starts, it does the following:
    - Read start-up file */etc/profile*
    - Read start-up file .profile in user's home directory
    - Read start-up file named in environment variable ENV
  - Problem: if any of these files can be altered by untrusted user, *sh* may execute undesirable commands or enter undesirable state on start

# Limiting Privileges

- Users should know which of their programs grant privileges to others
  - Also the implications of granting these
- Example: Toni reads email for her boss, Fran
  - Fran knew not to share passwords, so she made a setuid-to-Fran shell that Toni could use
    - Bad idea; gave Toni too much power
  - On Toni's suggestion, Fran began to forward to Toni a copy of every letter
    - Toni no longer needed access to Fran's account

June 1, 2004

Computer Security: Art and Science ©2002-2004 Matt Bishop

#### Malicious Logic

- Watch out for search paths
- Example: Paula wants to see John's confidential designs
  - Paula creates a Trojan horse that copies design files to /tmp; calls it *ls*
  - Paula places copies of this in all directories she can write to
  - John changes to one of these directories, executes *ls* 
    - John's search path begins with current working directory
  - Paula gets her information

#### Search Paths

- Search path to locate program to execute
- Search path to locate libraries to be dynamically loaded when program executes
- Search path for configuration files

•

# Analysis

- Copying, moving files meets U3
  - Procedures are to warn users about potential problems
- Protections against accidental overwriting and erasing meet U3
  - Users' startup files set protective modes on login
- Passwords not being stored unencrypted meets U3
  - In addition to policy, Drib modified programs that accept passwords from disk files to ignore those files

#### Analysis (con't)

- Publicizing start up procedures of programs meets U3
  - Startup files created when account created have restrictive permissions
- Publicizing dangers of setuid, giving extra privileges meets U3
  - When account created, no setuid/setgid programs
- Default search paths meet U4
  - None include world writable directories; this includes symbol for current working directory

#### Electronic Communications

- Checking for malicious content at firewall can make mistakes
  - Perfect detectors require solving undecidable problem
  - Users may unintentionally send out material they should not
- Automated e-mail processing
- Failing to check certificates
- Sending unexpected content

#### Automated E-mail Processing

- Be careful it does not automatically execute commands or programs on behalf of other users
- Example: NIMDA worm, embedded in email
  - When user opens letter, default configuration of mail passed NIMDA attachment to another program to be displayed
  - This executes code comprising worm, thereby infecting system

#### Failure to Check Certificates

- If certificate invalid or expired, email signed by that certificate may be untrustworthy
  - Mail readers must check that certificates are valid, or enable user to determine whether to trust certificate of questionable validity
- Example: Someone obtained certificates under the name of Microsoft
  - When discovered, issuer *immediately* revoked both
  - Had anyone obtained ActiveX applets signed by those certificates, would have been trusted

### Sending Unexpected Content

- Arises when data sent in one format is viewed in another
- Example: sales director sent sales team chart showing effects of proposed reorganization
  - Spreadsheet also contained confidential information deleted from spreadsheet but still in the file
  - Employees used different system to read file, seeing the spreadsheet data—and also the "deleted" date
- Rapid saves often do not delete information, but rearrange pointers so information appears deleted

# Analysis

- Automated e-mail processing meets U4
  - All programs configured not to execute attachments, contents of letters
- Certificate handling procedures meet U4
  - Drib enhanced all mail reading programs to validate certificates as far as possible, and display certificates it could not validate so user can decide how to proceed
- Publicizing problems with risk of "deleted" data meets U4
  - Also, progams have "rapid saves" disabled by default

# Key Points

- Users have policies, although usually informal ones
- Aspects of system use affect security even at the user level
  - System access issues
  - File and device issues
  - Process management issues
  - Electronic communications issues