## Notes for October 20, 1999

- 1. Greetings and Felicitations!
- 2. Puzzle of the Day
- 3. Flaw Hypothesis Methodology
  - System analysis
  - b. Hypothesis generation
  - c. Hypothesis testing
  - d. Generalization
- 4. System Analysis
  - a. Learn everything you can about the system
  - b. Learn everything you can about operational procedures
  - c. Compare to models like PA, RISOS
- 5. Hypothesis Generation
  - a. Study the system, look for inconsistencies in interfaces
  - b. Compare to previous systems
  - c. Compare to models like PA, RISOS
- 6. Hypothesis testing
  - a. Look at system code, see if it would work (live experiment may be unneeded)
  - b. If live experiment needed, observe usual protocols
- 7. Generalization
  - a. See if other programs, interfaces, or subjects/objects suffer from the same problem
  - b. See if this suggests a more generic type of flaw
- 8. Peeling the Onion
  - a. You know very little (not even phone numbers or IP addresses)
  - b. You know the phone number/IP address of system, but nothing else
  - c. You have an unprivileged (guest) account on the system.
  - d. You have an account with limited privileges.
- 9. Examples
  - a. Go through Michigan Terminal System penetration
  - b. Go through Burroughs B6700 penetration
- 10. Intrusion Detection Systems
  - a. Anomaly detectors: look for unusual patterns
  - b. Misuse detectors: look for sequences known to cause problems
  - c. Specification detectors: look for actions outside specifications
- 11. Anomaly Detection
  - a. Original type: used login times
  - b. Can be used to detect viruses, etc. by profiling expected number of writes
  - c. Basis: statistically build a profile of users' expected actions, and look for actions which do not fit into the profile
  - d. Issue: periodically modify the profile, or leave it static?
  - e. User vs. group profiles
  - f. Problems