Attacks

ECS 153 Spring Quarter 2021

Module 6

Attacks

- *Attack*: a sequence of actions creating a violation of a security policy
 - Multistage attack: attack requiring several steps to achieve its goal
- *Goal of the attack*: what the attacker hopes to achieve
- *Target of the attack*: entity that the attacker wishes to affect
- Example: burglar stealing someone's jewelry
 - *Attack*: what she does to steal the jewelry; probably *multistage* (break window, find jewelry box, break it open, take jewelry, get out of house)
 - Goal of the attack: steal the jewelry
 - *Target of the attack*: the jewelry, also the owner of the jewelry

Representing Attacks

- Can be done at many levels of abstraction
- As you go deeper, some steps become more detailed and break down into multiple steps themselves
- *Subgoal*: the goal of each step to move the attacker closer to the goal of the attack

Example: Penetration of Corporate Computer System

- Goal: gain access to corporate computer system
- Procedure was to try to get people to reveal account information, change passwords to something the attackers knew
 - Target: newly-hired employees who hadn't had computer security awareness briefing
 - Subgoal 1: find those people
 - Subgoal 2: get them to reveal account info, change passwords

Focus on Subgoal 1

- For subgoal 1, needed to find list of these people
 - Subgoal 1-1: learn about company's organization
- Procedure was to get annual report (public), telephone directory (not public)
 - Subgoal 1-2: acquire the telephone directory (this required 2 numbers)
 - Subgoal 1-3: get the two numbers (only available to employees)
 - Subgoal 1-4: impersonate employees
- Had corporate controls blocked attackers from achieving subgoal, they would need to find other ways of doing it

Attack Trees

- Represent the goals and subgoals as a sequence of hierarchical nodes in a tree
 - Goal is the root

Security Flaws in Cryptographic Key Management Schemes

- Goal: develop package to allow attackers to ask what data is needed to determine encryption key
- System has only 2 functions, $E_k(m)$ and $D_k(c)$
- Attack ("search") tree has the required information represented as root node, other nodes represent subgoals
- 2 types of nodes
 - Required: represents information necessary for parent; *satisfied* when that information becomes available
 - Available: represents known information
- As tree constructed, find leaf nodes that are required (using breadthfirst search), construct additional layer

Example

- Assume Sage knows $E_k(m)$, $E_{k'}(k)$, k'
 - Nodes for these are available nodes
- Goal: determine *m*
 - Node representing *m* is required node
- Tree construction:
 - To get *m*, use *k* to decrypt *E_k(m)* (left tree)
 - To get *k*, determine if it is encrypted and if so, try to decrypt it (right tree)
- Now all leaves are available nodes



Schneier's Attack Trees

- Two types of nodes
 - And nodes require all children to be satisfied before it is satisfied
 - Or nodes require at least 1 of its children to be satisfied before it is satisfied
 - *Weight* of node indicates some relevant characteristic, like difficulty of satisfying node
 - Weights of interior nodes depend upon weights of child nodes
 - Weights of leaf nodes assigned externally
- Goal represented as root node of set of tree
- Determine the steps needed to satisfy the goal
 - These become children of the root
- Repeat that step for each child
 - Stop when leaf nodes are at appropriate level of abstraction

Example: Reading PGP-Encrypted Message

- Sage wants to read message Skyler sends to Caroline
- Five ways:
 - 1. Read message before Skyler encrypts it
 - 2. Read message after Caroline decrypts it
 - 3. Break encryption used to encrypt message
 - 4. Determine symmetric key used to encrypt message
 - 5. Obtain Caroline's private key
- Focus on 2, read message after Caroline decrypts it

Beginning the Tree



1.Read message after Caroline decrypts it

- a. Monitor Caroline's outgoing mail; or
- b. Add a "Reply-To:" header (or change an existing one); or
- c. Compromise Caroline's computer and read the decrypted message
 - i. Compromise Caroline's computer; and
 - ii. Read the decrypted message



- i. Read message after Caroline decrypts it
 - a. Copy decrypted message from memory; or
 - b. Copy decrypted message from secondary storage; or
 - c. Copy decrypted message from backup; or
 - d. Monitor network to observe Caroline sending the plaintext message; or
 - e. Use a Van Eyk device to monitor the display of the message on Caroline's screen as it is displayed there

Textual Representation

- 1. Read a message that Skyler is sending to Caroline. (OR)
 - 1.1. Read the message before Skyler encrypts it.
 - 1.2. Read the message after Caroline decrypts it. (OR)
 - 1.2.1. Monitor Caroline's outgoing mail.
 - 1.2.2. Add a "Reply-To" field to the header (or change the address in the existing "Reply-To" field).
 - 1.2.3. Compromise Caroline's computer and read the decrypted message. (AND)
 - 1.2.3.1. Compromise Caroline's computer. (OR)
 - 1.2.3.1.1. Copy decrypted message from memory.
 - 1.2.3.1.2. Copy decrypted message from secondary storage.
 - 1.2.3.1.3. Copy decrypted message from backup.
 - 1.2.3.1.4. Monitor network to observe Caroline sending the cleartext message.
 - 1.2.3.1.5. Use a Van Eck device to monitor the display of the message on Caroline's monitor as it is displayed.

1.2.3.2. Read the decrypted message.

- 1.3. Break the encryption used to encrypt the message.
- 1.4. Determine the symmetric key used to encrypt the message.
- 1.5. Obtain Caroline's private key.

Requires/Provides Model

- Generalization of attack trees
- Based on *capabilities*, semantic objects encapsulating semantically typed attributes
 - Represent information or a situation to advance an attack
- Concept is a set C of capabilities and a mapping from C to another set of capabilities that are provided
 - Description of subgoal of attack
 - Attacker has a set of *required* capabilities *R* to reach subgoal; it then acquires a set *P* of provided capabilities

Concept

- Concept is a set R of capabilities and a mapping from R to another set P of capabilities that are provided
 - Description of subgoal of attack
- Interpretation: attacker has a set of *required* capabilities *R* to reach subgoal; it then acquires a set *P* of *provided* capabilities



Concept

- Captures *effect* of attack
 - How the attack works (ie, how capabilities are required) irrelevant to concept; that attacker has them is what matters
- Moves away from having to know every method of attack to get to a step
 - Concept embodies the step, so all model needs is required capabilities
- Can compose attacks based solely on effects and not methods of attack

Example: *rsh* Attack



- 1. *attacker* launches a DoS against *trusted*
- 2. *attacker* sends *victim* forged SYN, apparently from *trusted*
- 3. *victim* sends SYN/ACK to *trusted*
- 4. It never gets there due to DoS
- 5. *attacker* sends forged SYN/ACK to *trusted*, with command in data segment of packet
 - Need to know right sequence number
 - If so, causes command to be executed as though *trusted* requested it

Example: rsh Attack

- *Requires* capability: blocking of a connection between the *trusted* and *victim* hosts
 - Contains source address, destination address
 - Also time interval indicating when communication is blocked (ie, when the DoS attack is under way, and how long it lasts)
- Provides capability: execute command on victim host as if command were from trusted host
- *Concept*: spoof *trusted* host to *victim* host

JIGSAW Language

- Implements requires/provides model
- Capabilities: sets of typed attributes and values
 - **extern** keyword means it is defined elsewhere
- Concepts: two sets of capabilities
 - Required capabilities in **requires** block
 - Provided capabilities in **provides** block
 - action block lists actions to take when a concept is active

```
capability nosend is
    true_src, src, dst: type Host; # attacker, trusted, victim
    using: type Service; # service to be exploited
end.
```

Structure of a capability:

• *using* is command to be executed, exploiting a service (here, *rsh*)

concept rsh connection spoofing is

requires

- TP: type Trusted_Partner; #- trusted host
- SA: type Active Service; #- service (here, rshd)
- PPS: type Prevent Packet Send;
- FPS: type Forged Packet Send;
- extern SNP: type SeqNumProbe;

PPS: capability for *true* src to block src host receiving packets from dst FPS: capability for *true* src to send forget packet to dst SNP: capability for *true* src to determine next sequence number of dst

with #- These instantiate the capabilities TP.service is RSH, #- service is RSH PPS.host is TP.trusted, #- blocked host = trusted host FPD.dst.host is TP.trustor, #- spoofed packets go to host #- trusting TP FPS.src is [PPS.host, PPS.port], #- apparent source of forged #- packets is blocked SNP.dst is [SA.host, SA.port], #- probed host must be SA.port is TCP/RSH, #- running RSH on usual port SA.service is RSH, SNP.dst is FPS.dst #- forged packets go to probed active(FPS) during active(PPS) #- host while DoS of trusted #- host is active

To meet **requires** conditions, relationships in **with** block must hold:

- Trusted host must be running *rsh* servicve
- Attacker must be able to block trusted host from sending packets to victim
- Attacker must be able to send spoofed packets ostensibly from trusted host to victim
- Attacker must know sequence number of packet victim sends to trusted host
- When attack on victim is being carried out, attack on trusted host must also be active

requires

PSC: type push_channel; REX: type remote_execution;

PSC: capability to send code, commands to *dst* REX: capability to execute that code, commands on *dst*

with #- These set the new capabilities
PSC.src <- FPS.true_src, #- capability to move code from
PSC.dst <- FPS.dst, #- attacker to rsh server
PSC.true_src <- FPS.true_src, #- (victim)
PSC.using <- rsh;
REX.src <- FPS.true_src, #- capability to execute code,
REX.dst <- FPS.dst, #- commands on rsh server
REX.true_src <- FPS.true_src, #- (victim)
REX.using <- rsh;</pre>

end;

action

true -> report("rsh connection spoofing: " + TP.hostname)
end;

- When all conditions in requires block satisfied, concept rsh_connection_spoofing is realized
- Attacker gets capabilities defined in **provides** section
 - Here, PSC and REX capabilities
- Events in **action** block executed
 - Here, message is printed to alert observer an *rsh* spoofing attack under way

Attack Graphs

- Describe attacks in terms of a general graph
 - Generalization of attack trees
- Used to represent attacks, detect attacks, guide penetration testing

Attack Graph and Penetration Testing

Here attack graph is a Petri net

- Nodes P = { p₁, ..., p_n } states of entities relevant to system under attack
- Edges $T = \{ t_1, ..., t_m \}$ transitions between states
- Token on a node means attacker has appropriate control of that entity
- Tokens move to indicate progress of attack
- If node p_i precedes node p_j, attacker must get control of p_i before it can get control of p_j

Attack Graph and Penetration Testing

 McDermott: hypothesize individual flaws as 2 nodes connected by transition; then examine nodes for relationships that allow them to be linked

• First steps in attack:



Initial scan of target

Identify an unused address

Establish that target trusts another host

Forge SYN packet



Petri net represents*rsh* attack1. Before attack2. After attack

States

- *p*₀: starting state
- *p*₁: found unused address on target network
- *p*₂: found trusted host
- *p*₃: found target that trusts the trusted host
- *p*₄: forged SYN packet created
- *p*₅: able to predict TCP sequence numbers of target host
- *p*₆: saturated state of network connections of trusted host
- p₇: final (compromised) state

Transitions

- t₀: attacker scanning system (splits into 3 transitions)
- t_1 : attacker creating forged SYN packet
- t₂: attacker launching SYN flood against trusted host
- t₃: attacker figuring out how to predict victim's TCP sequence numbers
- *t*₄: forged SYN packet created
- *t*₅: attacker modifying trusted host file on victim
 - Attacker can now get *root* access on victim

- Attack starts at p_0
- t_0 splits into 3 transitions, as on success, 3 states of interest
- Need to instantiate all 3 states:
 - p_1 : find unused address on target
 - *p*₂: find trusted host
 - p_3 : find target that trusts trusted host
- *t*₁ is creating forged SYN packet
 - Transition from p_1 to p_4
- t₂ is attacker launching SYN flood (DoS) against trusted host
 - Transition from p_4 to p_6

- t₃: attacker figuring out how to predict victim's TCP sequence numbers
 - Transition from p_2 to p_4
- t₄: attacker launches attack using entities above
 - Transition from p_3 , p_5 , and p_6 to p_7
- t_5 : attacker executes command
 - Example: modifying trusted hosts file to be able to get *root*