Cryptography II

ECS 153 Spring Quarter 2021

Module 14

Public Key Cryptography

• Two keys

- *Private key* known only to individual
- *Public key* available to anyone
	- Public key, private key inverses
- Idea
	- Confidentiality: encipher using public key, decipher using private key
	- Integrity/authentication: encipher using private key, decipher using public one

Requirements

- 1. It must be computationally easy to encipher or decipher a message given the appropriate key
- 2. It must be computationally infeasible to derive the private key from the public key
- 3. It must be computationally infeasible to determine the private key from a chosen plaintext attack

El Gamal Cryptosystem

- Based on discrete logarithm problem
	- Given integers *n, g*, and *b* with 0 ≤ *a* < *n* and 0 ≤ *b* < *n*; then find an integer *k* such that $0 \leq k < n$ and $a = q^k \mod n$
	- Choose *n* to be a prime *p*
	- Solutions known for small *p*
	- Solutions computationally infeasible as *p* grows large

Algorithm

- Choose prime *p* with *p* 1 having a large factor
- Choose generator *g* such that 1 < *g* < *p*
- Choose k_{priv} such that $1 < k_{priv} < p-1$
- Set $y = q^{k_{priv}}$ mod p
- Then public key $k_{pub} = (p, g, y)$ and private key is k_{priv}

Example

- Alice: $p = 262643$; $q = 9563$, $k_{priv} = 3632$
	- 262643 = 2 x 131321, also prime
- Alice's public key $k_{pub} = (262643, 9563, 27459)$
	- As $y = q^{k_{priv}}$ mod $p = 9563^{3632}$ mod 262643 = 27459

Enciphering and Deciphering

Encipher message *m*:

- Choose random integer *k* relatively prime to *p* 1
- Compute $c_1 = g^k \text{ mod } p$; $c_2 = my^k \text{ mod } p$
- Ciphertext is $c = (c_1, c_2)$

Decipher ciphertext (c_1, c_2)

- Compute $m = c_2 c_1^{-k_{priv}}$ mod p
- Message is *m*

Example Encryption

- Bob wants to send Alice PUPPIESARESMALL
- Message to send: 152015 150804 180017 041812 001111
- First block: choose *k* = 5
	- $c_{1,1}$ = 9563⁵ mod 262643 = 15653
	- $c_{1,2}$ = (152015)27459⁵ mod 262643 = 923
- Next block: choose *k* = 3230
	- $c_{2,1}$ = 9563³²³⁰ mod 262643 = 46495
	- $c_{2,2}$ = (150804)27459³²³⁰ mod 262643 = 109351
- Continuing, enciphered message is (15653,923), (46495,109351), (176489,208811), (88247,144749), (152432,5198)

Example Decryption

Alice receives (15653,923), (46495,109351), (176489,208811), (88247,144749), (152432,5198)

- First block: $(923)15653^{-3632} \text{ mod } 262643 = 152015$
- Second block: $(109351)46495^{-3632} \text{ mod } 262643 = 150804$
- Third block: $(208811)176489^{-3632} \text{ mod } 262643 = 180017$
- Fourth block: (144749) 88247⁻³⁶³² mod 262643 = 41812
- Fifth block: (5198) 152432⁻³⁶³² mod 262643 = 1111

So the message is 152015 150804 180017 041812 001111

• Which translates to "PUP PIE SAR ESM ALL" or PUPPIESARESMALL

Notes

- Same letter enciphered twice produces two different ciphertexts
	- Defeats replay attacks
- If the integer *k* is used twice, and an attacker has plaintext for one of those messages, deciphering the other is easy
- c_2 linear function of *m*, so forgery possible
	- *m* message, (c_1, c_2) ciphertext; then (c_1, nc_2) is ciphertext corresponding to message *nm*
- First described publicly in 1978
	- Unknown at the time: Clifford Cocks developed a similar cryptosystem in 1973, but it was classified until recently
- Exponentiation cipher
- Relies on the difficulty of determining the number of numbers relatively prime to a large integer *n*

Background

- Totient function $\phi(n)$
	- Number of positive integers less than *n* and relatively prime to *n*
		- *Relatively prime* means with no factors in common with *n*
- Example: $\phi(10) = 4$
	- 1, 3, 7, 9 are relatively prime to 10
- Example: $\phi(21) = 12$
	- 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20 are relatively prime to 21

Algorithm

- Choose two large prime numbers *p, q*
	- Let $n = pq$; then $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$
	- Choose $e < n$ such that e is relatively prime to $\phi(n)$.
	- Compute *d* such that *ed* mod $\phi(n) = 1$
- Public key: (*e*, *n*); private key: *d*
- Encipher: *c* = *me* mod *n*
- Decipher: $m = c^d \mod n$

Example: Confidentiality

- Take $p = 181$, $q = 1451$, so $n = 262631$ and $\phi(n) = 261000$
- Alice chooses *e* = 154993, making *d* = 95857
- Bob wants to send Alice secret message PUPPIESARESMALL (152015 150804 180017 041812 001111); encipher using public key
	- 152015 154993 mod 262631 = 220160
	- 150804 154993 mod 262631 = 135824
	- 180017¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 252355
	- 041812¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 245799
	- 001111₁₅₄₉₉₃ mod 262631 = 070707
- Bob sends 220160 135824 252355 245799 070707
- Alice uses her private key to decipher it

Example: Authentication/Integrity

- Alice wants to send Bob the message PUPPIESARESMALL in such a way that Bob knows it comes from her and nothing was changed during the transmission
	- Same public, private keys as before
- Encipher using private key:
	- 152015 $95857 \text{ mod } 262631 = 072798$
	- 150804 95857 mod 262631 = 259757
	- 180017 95857 mod 262631 = 256449
	- 041812⁹⁵⁸⁵⁷ mod 262631 = 089234
	- 001111⁹⁵⁸⁵⁷ mod 262631 = 037974
- Alice sends 072798 259757 256449 089234 037974
- Bob receives, uses Alice's public key to decipher it

Example: Both (Sending)

- Same *n* as for Alice; Bob chooses *e* = 45593, making *d* = 235457
- Alice wants to send PUPPIESARESMALL (152015 150804 180017 041812 001111) confidentially and authenticated
- Encipher:
	- (152015 $95857 \text{ mod } 262631$)⁴⁵⁵⁹³ mod 262631 = 249123
	- (150804 95857 mod 262631)⁴⁵⁵⁹³ mod 262631 = 166008
	- (180017 95857 mod 262631)⁴⁵⁵⁹³ mod 262631 = 146608
	- (041812⁹⁵⁸⁵⁷ mod 262631)⁴⁵⁵⁹³ mod 262631 = 092311
	- (00111195857 mod 262631)⁴⁵⁵⁹³ mod 262631 = 096768
- So Alice sends 249123 166008 146608 092311 096768

Example: Both (Receiving)

- Bob receives 249123 166008 146608 092311 096768
- Decipher:
	- (249123²³⁵⁴⁵⁷ mod 262631)¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 152012
	- (166008²³⁵⁴⁵⁷ mod 262631)¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 150804
	- (146608²³⁵⁴⁵⁷ mod 262631)¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 180017
	- (092311²³⁵⁴⁵⁷ mod 262631)¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 041812
	- (096768 235457 mod 262631)¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631 = 001111
- So Alice sent him 152015 150804 180017 041812 001111
	- Which translates to PUP PIE SAR ESM ALL or PUPPIESARESMALL

Security Services

- Confidentiality
	- Only the owner of the private key knows it, so text enciphered with public key cannot be read by anyone except the owner of the private key
- Authentication
	- Only the owner of the private key knows it, so text enciphered with private key must have been generated by the owner

More Security Services

- Integrity
	- Enciphered letters cannot be changed undetectably without knowing private key
- Non-Repudiation
	- Message enciphered with private key came from someone who knew it

Warnings

- Encipher message in blocks considerably larger than the examples here
	- If only characters per block, RSA can be broken using statistical attacks (just like symmetric cryptosystems)
- Attacker cannot alter letters, but can rearrange them and alter message meaning
	- Example: reverse enciphered message of text ON to get NO

Elliptic Curve Ciphers

- Miller and Koblitz proposed this
- *Elliptic curve* is a curve of the form $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$
	- Curve $y^2 = x^3 + 4x + 10$ plotted at right
- Can be applied to any cryptosystem depending on discrete log problem
- Advantage: keys shorter than other forms of public key cryptosystems, so computation time shorter

Basics

- Take 2 points on the elliptic curve P_1 , P_2
	- If $P_1 \neq P_2$, draw line through them
	- If $P_1 = P_2$, draw a tangent to curve there
- If line intersects curve at $P_3 = (x_3, y_3)$
	- Take the sum of P_1 , P_2 to be P4 = $(x_3, -y_3)$
- Otherwise, line is vertical, so take $P_1 = (x, y)$; treat ∞ as another point of intersection; third point of intersection is $P_2 = (x, -y)$
	- Given above definition of addition, $P_1 + \infty = (x, y) = P_1$
	- So ∞ is additive identity

The Math

- $P_1 = (x_1, y_1); P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$
- Then if $P_1 \neq P_2$, $m = (y_2 y_1) / (x_2 x_1)$
- Otherwise, $m = (3x_1^2 + a) / y_1$
- Next, $P_3 = P_1 + P_2 = (m^2 x_1 x_2, m(x_1 x_3) y_1) = (x_3, y_3)$
- And $P_4 = (x_4, y_4)$, where $x_4 = x_3$, $y_4 = -y_3$
	- P_4 defined to be sum of P_1 , P_2

Basis for the Cryptosystem

- Curve: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \mod p$, where $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ and p prime
- Pick a point *P* and add it to itself *n* times; call this *Q*, so *Q* = *nP*
	- If *n* is large, generally very hard to compute *n* from *P* and *Q*
- So, elliptic curve cryptosystem has 4 parameters (*a*, *b*, *p*, *P*)
- Private key k_{priv} chosen randomly such that $k_{priv} < p$
	- In practice, choose k_{priv} to be less than number of integer points on curve
- Public key $k_{pub} = k_{priv} P$
- In what follows, (x, y) mod $p = (x \mod p, y \mod p)$

Elliptic Curve El Gamal Cryptosystem

- Choose a point *P* on the curve, and a private key *kpriv*
- Compute $Q = k_{priv}P$
- Public key is (*P*, *Q*, *a*, *p*)

Encipher: express message as point *m* on curve; choose random number *k*

- $c_1 = kP$; $c_2 = m + kQ$
- Ciphertext is (c_1, c_2)

Decipher:

- $m = c_2 k_{priv}c_1$
- Message is *m*

Example: Encryption

- Alice, Bob agree to use the curve $y^2 = x^3 + 4x + 14$ mod 2503 and the point *P* = (1002, 493)
- Bob chooses private key $k_{priv,Bob}$ = 1847
	- Public key $k_{pub, Bob} = k_{priv, Bob}P = 1847(1002, 493) \text{ mod } 2503 = (460, 2083)$
- Alice wants to send Bob message *m* = (18, 1394)
	- She chooses random *k* = 717
	- $c_1 = kP = 717(1002, 493) \text{ mod } 2503 = (2134, 419)$
	- $c_2 = m + k k_{pub, Bob} = (18, 1394) + 717(460, 2083) \text{ mod } 2503 = (221, 1253)$

so she sends Bob c_1 and c_2

Example: Decryption

- From last slide, Alice, Bob agree to use the curve $y^2 = x^3 + 4x + 14$ mod 2503 and the point *P* = (1002, 493)
	- Bob's private key $k_{priv,Bob}$ = 1847
	- Bob's public key $k_{pub, Bob}$ (460, 2083)
- To decrypt c_1 = (2134, 419), c_2 = (221, 1253), Bob computes:
	- $k_{priv.Bob}c_1 = 1847(2134, 419) \text{ mod } 2503 = (652, 1943)$
	- $m = c_2 c_1 = (221, 1253) (652, 1943) \text{ mod } 2503 = (18, 1394)$

obtaining the message Alice sent

Selection of Elliptic Curves

- For elliptic curves for cryptography, selection of parameters critical
	- Example: $b = 0$, p mod $4 = 3$ makes the underlying discrete log problem significantly easier to solve
	- Example: so does $a = 0$, p mod $3 = 2$
- Several such curves are recommended:
	- U.S. NIST: P-192, P-224, P-256, P-384, P-521 using a prime modulus and a binary field of degree 163, 233, 283 409, 571
	- Certicom: same, but degree 239 binary field instead of degree 233 binary field
	- Others: Curve1174, Curve25519

Cryptographic Checksums

- Mathematical function to generate a set of *k* bits from a set of *n* bits (where $k \leq n$).
	- *k* is smaller then *n* except in unusual circumstances
- Example: ASCII parity bit
	- ASCII has 7 bits; 8th bit is "parity"
	- Even parity: even number of 1 bits
	- Odd parity: odd number of 1 bits

Example Use

- Bob receives "10111101" as bits.
	- Sender is using even parity; 6 1 bits, so character was received correctly
		- Note: could be garbled, but 2 bits would need to have been changed to preserve parity
	- Sender is using odd parity; even number of 1 bits, so character was not received correctly

Definition

- Cryptographic checksum $h: A \rightarrow B$:
	- 1. For any $x \in A$, $h(x)$ is easy to compute
	- 2. For any $y \in B$, it is computationally infeasible to find $x \in A$ such that $h(x) = y$
	- 3. It is computationally infeasible to find two inputs *x*, $x' \in A$ such that $x \neq x'$ and $h(x) = h(x')$
		- $-$ Alternate form (stronger): Given any $x \in A$, it is computationally infeasible to find a different $x' \in A$ such that $h(x) = h(x')$.

Collisions

- If $x \neq x'$ and $h(x) = h(x')$, x and x' are a *collision*
	- Pigeonhole principle: if there are *n* containers for *n*+1 objects, then at least one container will have at least 2 objects in it.
	- Application: if there are 32 files and 8 possible cryptographic checksum values, at least one value corresponds to at least 4 files

Keys

- Keyed cryptographic checksum: requires cryptographic key
	- AES in chaining mode: encipher message, use last *n* bits. Requires a key to encipher, so it is a keyed cryptographic checksum.
- Keyless cryptographic checksum: requires no cryptographic key
	- SHA-512, SHA-3 are examples; older ones include MD4, MD5, RIPEM, SHA-0, and SHA-1 (methods for constructing collisions are known for these)

HMAC

- Make keyed cryptographic checksums from keyless cryptographic checksums
- *h* keyless cryptographic checksum function that takes data in blocks of *b* bytes and outputs blocks of *l* bytes. *k*¢ is cryptographic key of length *b* bytes
	- If short, pad with 0 bytes; if long, hash to length *b*
- *ipad* is 00110110 repeated *b* times
- *opad* is 01011100 repeated *b* times
- HMAC- $h(k, m) = h(k' \oplus opad \mid h(k' \oplus ipad \mid m))$
	- \oplus exclusive or, $||$ concatenation

Strength of HMAC-*h*

- Depends on the strength of the hash function *h*
- Attacks on HMAC-MD4, HMAC-MD5, HMAC-SHA-0, and HMAC-SHA-1 recover partial or full keys
	- Note all of MD4, MD5, SHA-0, and SHA-1 have been broken

Digital Signature

- Construct that authenticates origin, contents of message in a manner provable to a disinterested third party (a "judge")
- Sender cannot deny having sent message (service is "nonrepudiation")
	- Limited to *technical* proofs
		- Inability to deny one's cryptographic key was used to sign
	- One could claim the cryptographic key was stolen or compromised
		- Legal proofs, *etc.,* probably required; not dealt with here

Common Error

- Symmetric: Alice, Bob share key *k*
	- Alice sends *m* || { *m* } *k* to Bob
	- { *m* } *k* means *m* enciphered with key *k*, || means concatenation

Claim: This is a digital signature

WRONG

This is not a digital signature

• Why? Third party cannot determine whether Alice or Bob generated message

Classical Digital Signatures

- Require trusted third party
	- Alice, Bob each share keys with trusted party Cathy
- To resolve dispute, judge gets $\{m\}$ k_{Alice} , $\{m\}$ k_{Bob} , and has Cathy decipher them; if messages matched, contract was signed

Public Key Digital Signatures

- Basically, Alice enciphers the message, or its cryptographic hash, with her private key
- In case of dispute or question of origin or whether changes have been made, a judge can use Alice's public key to verify the message came from Alice and has not been changed since being signed

RSA Digital Signatures

- Alice's keys are (e_{Alice} , n_{Alice}) (public key), d_{Alice} (private key)
	- In what follows, we use e_{Alice} to represent the public key
- Alice sends Bob

m $|| \{ m \} e_{Alice}$

• In case of dispute, judge computes

 $\{ \{ m \} e_{Alice} \} d_{Alice}$

- and if it is *m*, Alice signed message
	- She's the only one who knows $d_{Alice}!$

RSA Digital Signatures

- Use private key to encipher message
	- Protocol for use is *critical*
- Key points:
	- Never sign random documents, and when signing, always sign hash and never document
	- Don't just encipher message and then sign, or vice versa
		- Changing public key and private key can cause problems
		- Messages can be forwarded, so third party cannot tell if original sender sent it to her

Attack #1

- Example: Alice, Bob communicating
	- n_A = 262631, e_A = 154993, d_A = 95857
	- n_B = 288329, e_B = 22579, d_B = 138091
- Alice asks Bob to sign 225536 so she can verify she has the right public key:
	- $c = m^{d_{\text{B}}}$ mod $n_{\text{B}} = 225536^{138091}$ mod 288329 = 271316
- Now she asks Bob to sign the statement AYE (002404):
	- $c = m^{d_{\text{B}}}$ mod $n_{\text{B}} = 002404^{138091}$ mod 288329 = 182665

Attack #1

- Alice computes:
	- new message NAY (130024) by (002404)(225536) mod 288329 = 130024
	- corresponding signature (271316)(182665) mod 288329 = 218646
- Alice now claims Bob signed NAY (130024), and as proof supplies signature 218646
- Judge computes c^{e_B} mod n_B = 218646²²⁵⁷⁹ mod 288329 = 130024
	- Signature validated; Bob is toast

Preventing Attack #1

- Do not sign random messages
	- This would prevent Alice from getting the first message
- When signing, always sign the cryptographic hash of a message, not the message itself

Attack #2: Bob's Revenge

- Bob, Alice agree to sign contract LUR (112017)
	- But Bob really wants her to sign contract EWM (042212), but knows she won't
- Alice enciphers, then signs:
	- $(m^{e_B} \text{ mod } n_A)^{d_A} \text{ mod } n_A = (112017^{22579} \text{ mod } 288329)^{95857} \text{ mod } 262631 = 42390$
- Bob now changes his public key
	- Computes *r* such that 042212*^r* mod 288329 = 112017; one such *r* = 9175
	- Computes re_{B} mod $\phi(n_B)$ = (9175)(22579) mod 287184 = 102661
	- Replace public key with (102661,288329), private key with 161245
- Bob claims contract was EWM
- Judge computes:
	- (42390¹⁵⁴⁹⁹³ mod 262631)¹⁶¹²⁴⁵ mod 288329 = 042212, which is EWM
	- Verified; now Alice is toast

Preventing Attack #2

- Obvious thought: instead of encrypting message and then signing it, sign the message and then encrypt it
	- May not work due to surreptitious forwarding attack
	- Idea: Alice sends Cathy an encrypted signed message; Cathy deciphers it, reenciphers it with Bob's public key, and then sends message and signature to Bob – now Bob thinks the message came from Alice (right) and was intended for him (wrong)
- Several ways to solve this:
	- Put sender and recipient in the message; changing recipient invalidates signature
	- Sign message, encrypt it, then sign the result

El Gamal Digital Signature

- Relies on discrete log problem
	- Choose *p* prime, *g*, $d < p$; compute $y = g^d$ mod *p*
- Public key: (*y*, *g*, *p*); private key: *d*
- To sign contract m:
	- Choose *k* relatively prime to *p*–1, and not yet used
	- Compute $a = g^k \mod p$
	- Find *b* such that $m = (da + kb)$ mod $p-1$
	- Signature is (*a*, *b*)
- To validate, check that
	- $y^a a^b$ mod $p = q^m$ mod p

Example

- Alice chooses *p* = 262643, *g* = 9563, *d* = 3632, giving *y* = 274598
- Alice wants to send Bob signed contract PUP (152015)
	- Chooses $k = 601$ (relatively prime to 262642)
	- This gives $a = q^k \mod p = 9563^{601} \mod 29 = 202897$
	- Then solving $152015 = (3632\times202897 + 601b)$ mod 262642 gives $b = 225835$
	- Alice sends Bob message *m* = 152015 and signature (*a,b*) = (202897, 225835)
- Bob verifies signature: q^m mod $p = 9563^{152015}$ mod 262643 = 157499 and $v^a a^b$ mod $p = 27459^{202897}202897^{225835}$ mod 262643 = 157499
	- They match, so Alice signed

Attack

- Eve learns *k*, corresponding message *m*, and signature (*a*, *b*)
	- Extended Euclidean Algorithm gives *d*, the private key
- Example from above: Eve learned Alice signed last message with *k* = 5 $m = (da + kb) \text{ mod } p-1 \Rightarrow 152015 = (202897d + 601 \times 225835) \text{ mod } 262642$ giving Alice's private key *d* = 3632

El Gamal Digital Signature Using Elliptic Curve Cryptography

- As before, curve is $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \mod p$ with *n* integer points on it
	- Choose a point P on the curve
	- Choose private key *kpriv;* compute $Q = k_{priv}P$, and the *corresponding* public key is (*P*, *Q*, *a*, *b*)
- To digitally sign, choose random integer *k* with 1 ≤ *k* < *n*
	- Compute $R = kP$ and $s = k^{-1}(m-k_{priv}x)$ mod *n*, where *x* is first component of *R*
	- Digital signature is (*m*, *R*, *s*)
- To validate, recipient computes:
	- $V_1 = xQ + sR$
	- $V_2 = mP$
	- If $V_1 = V_2$, signature valid

Example

- Alice, Bob use elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + 4x + 14$ mod 2503, point $P =$ (1002, 493)
	- Curve has *n* = 2477 integer points on it
	- Bob chooses $k_{priv,Bob}$ = 1874, so Q = 1847(1002, 493) mod 2503 = (460, 2083)
- Bob digitally signs message *m* = 379
	- Chooses *k* = 877
	- Computes *R* = *kP* = 877(1002,493) = (1014, 788)
	- Computes $s = k^{-1}(m-k_{priv.Bob}x)$ mod $n = 877^{-1}(379 1847 \times 1014)$ mod 2477 = 2367
	- Sends Alice (379, (1014, 788), 2367)

Example

- To validate signature, Alice computes:
	- $V_1 = xQ + sR = 1014(460,2083) + 2367(1014, 788) = (535, 1015)$
	- $V_2 = mP = 379(1002, 493) = (535, 1015)$
- As $V_1 = V_2$, the signature is validated