Lecture 25

- Design assurance
 - Techniques
 - External and internal design
- Documentation and specification
 - Justifications
 - Correspondence
- Review of evidence
- Evaluating Systems
 - TCSEC (Orange Book)
 - FIPS 140-2
 - Common Criteria
 - SSE-CMM

Design Assurance

- Process of establishing that design of system sufficient to enforce security requirements
 - Specify requirements (see above)
 - Specify system design
 - Examine how well design meets requirements

Design Techniques

- Modularity
 - Makes system design easier to analyze
 - RVM: functions not related to security distinct from modules supporting security functionality
- Layering
 - Makes system easier to understand
 - Supports information hiding

Layering

- Develop specifications at each layer of abstraction
 - *subsystem* or *component*: special-purpose division of a larger entity
 - Example: for OS, memory manager, process manager; Web store: credit card handlers
 - *subcomponent*: part of a component
 - Example: I/O component has I/O managers and I/O drivers as subcomponents
 - *module*: set of related functions, data structures

Example: Windows 2000 I/O System





HAL Component	

Design Document Contents

- Provide basis for analysis
 - informal, semiformal, formal
- Must include:
 - *Security functions*: high-level descriptions of functions that enforce security and overview of protection approach
 - *External interfaces*: interfaces visible to users, how the security enforcement functions constrain them, and what the constraints and effects should be
 - *Internal design*: Design descriptions addressing the architecture in terms of the next layer of decomposition; also, for each module, identifies and describes all interfaces and data structures

Security Functions

- Security functions summary specification identifies high-level security functions defined for the system
 - 1. *Description of individual security functions*, complete enough to show the intent of the function; tie to requirements
 - 2. *Overview of set of security functions* describing how security functions work together to satisfy security requirements
 - 3. *Mapping to requirements*, specifying mapping between security functions and security requirements.

External Interface

- High-level description of external interfaces to system, component, subcomponent, or module
 - 1. *Component overview* identifying the component, its parent, how the component fits into the design
 - 2. *Data descriptions* identifying data types and structures needed to support the external interface descriptions specific to this component, and security issues or protection requirements relevant to data structures.

External Interface

- High-level description of external interfaces to system, component, subcomponent, or module
 - *3. Interface descriptions* including commands, system calls, library calls, functions, and application program interfaces as well as exception conditions and effects

Example

• Routine for error handling subsystem that adds an event to an existing log file

Interface Name

error_t add_logevent (handle_t handle, data_t event);

Input Parameters

- *handle* valid handle returned from previous call to *open_log*
- *event* buffer of event data with records in *logevent* format

Example

Exceptions

- Caller does not have permission to add to EVENT file.
- There is inadequate memory to add to an EVENT file.

Effects

Event is added to EVENT log.

Output Parameters

status	status_ok	/* routine completed successfully */
	no_memory	/* insufficient memory (failed) */
	permission_denied	/* no permission (failed) */

Note

add_logevent is a user-visible interface

ECS 235B, Spring Quarter 2013

Internal Design

- Describes internal structures and functions of components of system
 - 1. *Overview of the parent component*; its high-level purpose, function, security relevance
 - 2. *Detailed description of the component*; its features, functions, structure in terms of the subcomponents, all interfaces (noting externally visible ones), effects, exceptions, and error messages
 - 3. *Security relevance of the component* in terms of security issues that it and its subcomponents should address

Example: Parent Component

- Audit component is responsible for recording accurate representation of all security-relevant events in the system and ensuring that integrity and confidentiality of the records are maintained.
 - Audit view: subcomponent providing authorized users with a mechanism for viewing audit records.
 - Audit logging: subcomponent records the auditable events, as requested by the system, in the format defined by the requirements
 - Audit management: subcomponent handling administrative interface used to define what is audited.

Example: Detailed Component Description

- Audit logging subcomponent records auditable events in a secure fashion. It checks whether requested audit event meets conditions for recording.
- Subcomponent formats audit record and includes all attributes of security-relevant event; generates the audit record in the predefined format
- Audit logging subcomponent handles exception conditions
 - Error writing to the log

Example

• Audit logging subcomponent uses one global structure:

structure audit_config /* defines configuration of */

/* which events to audit */

• The audit logging subcomponent has two external interfaces:

add_logevent()/* log an event */logevent()/* ask to log event */

Example: Security Relevance

- Audit logging subcomponent monitors security-relevant events and records those events matching configurable audit selection criteria
 - Security-relevant events include attempts to violate security policy, successful completion of security-relevant actions

Low-Level Design

- Focus on internal logic, data structures, interfaces; may include pseudocode
 - 1. *Overview*, giving the purpose of the module and its interrelations with other modules, especially dependencies on other modules
 - 2. *Security relevance of the module*, showing how the module addresses security issues
 - 3. *Individual module interfaces*, identifying all interfaces to the module, and those externally visible.

Example: Overview

- Audit logging subcomponent
 - Responsible for monitoring and recording securityrelevant events
 - Depends on I/O system and process system components
- Audit management subcomponent
 - Depends on audit logging subcomponent for accurate implementation of audit parameters configured by audit management subcomponent
- All system components depend on audit logging component to produce their audit records

Example: Overview

Audit logging subcomponent: Variables

structure logevent_t defines audit record structure audit_ptr current position in audit file file_ptr audit_fd file descriptor of audit file **Global structure** structure audit_config /* defines configuration */

/* of which events are to be audited */

External interfaces

add_logevent() /* begin logging events of given type */ /* ask to log event */

logevent()

ECS 235B, Spring Quarter 2013

Example: Security Relevance

- Audit logging subcomponent monitors securityrelevant events, records those events matching the configurable audit selection criteria
 - Example: attempts to violate security policy
 - Example: successful completion of security-relevant actions
- Audit logging subcomponent must ensure no audit records are lost, and are protected from tampering

Example: Individual Interfaces

- *logevent*() only external interface
 verify function parameters
 call *check_selection_parameters* to determine if system
 has been configured to audit event
 if *check_selection_parameters* then
 call *create_logevent* call *write_logevent* return success or error number
 else
 - return success

Example: Individual Interfaces

 add_logevent() available only to privileged users verify caller has privilege/permission to use this function if caller does not have permission return permission_denied verify function parameters call write_logevent for each event record return success or error number from write_logevent

Internal Design

- *Introduction*: purpose, scope, target audience
- *Component overview*: identifies modules, data structures; how data is transmitted; security relevance and functionality
- Detailed module designs
 - Module #1: module's interrelations with other modules, local data structures, its control and data flows, security
 - Interface Designs: describes each interface
 - Interface 1a: security relevance, external visibility, purpose, effects, exceptions, error messages, and results

Example

- Windows 2000 I/O System
 - High-level design document describes I/O system as a whole
 - Necessary descriptions of executive, kernel driver, HAL
 - Describes first level of design decomposition
- Next level of decomposition
 - High-level design document for I/O file drivers
 - Internal design spec for HAL component
- Internal design specs for each subcomponent of I/ O file drivers

Documentation and Specification

- Time, cost, efficiency may impact how complete set of documents prepared
- Different types of specifications
 - Modification Specifications
 - Security Specifications
 - Formal Specifications

Modification Specifications

- Used when system built from previous versions or components
 - Specifications for these versions or components
 - Specifications for changes to, additions of, and methods for deleting modules, functions, components
- Developer understands the system upon which the new system is based

Security Considerations

- Security analysis must rest on specification of current system, not previous ones or changes only
 - If modification specifications are only ones, security analysis based upon incomplete specifications
 - If previous system has full security specifications, then analysis may be complete

Security Specifications

- Used when design specifications adequate except for security issues
- Develop supplemental specifications to describe missing security functionality
 - Develop document that starts with security functions summary specification
 - Expand to address security issues of components, subcomponents, modules, functions

Example: System X

- Underlying UNIX system completely specified, including complete functional specifications and internal design specifications
 - Neither covered security well, let alone document new functionality

Example: System X

- Team supplemented existing documentation with security architecture document
 - Addresses deficiencies of existing documentation
 - Gives complete overview of each security function
 - Additional documentation describes external interface, internal design of all functions

Formal Specifications

- Any specification can be formal
- Written in formal language, with welldefined syntax and sound semantics
- Supporting tools allow checking
 - Parsers
 - Theorem provers

Justifications

- Formal techniques
 - Proofs of correctness, consistency
- Informal techniques
 - Requirements tracing: showing which specific security requirements are met by parts of a specification
 - Informal correspondence: showing a specification is consistent with adjacent level of specification

Requirements and Informal Correspondence



ECS 235B, Spring Quarter 2013

Reviews of Assurance Evidence

- Reviewers given guidelines for review
- Other roles:
 - Scribe: takes notes
 - Moderator: controls review process
 - Reviewer: examines assurance evidence
 - Author: author of assurance evidence
 - Observer: observe process silently
- Important: managers may *only* be reviewers, and only then if their technical expertise warrants it

Setting Review Up

- Moderator manages review process
 - If not ready, moderator and author's manager discuss how to make it ready with author
 - May split it up into several reviews
 - Chooses team, defines ground rules
- Technical Review
 - Reviewers follow rules, commenting on any issues they uncover
 - May request moderator to stop review, send back to author
 - General and specific comments to author

Review Meeting

- Moderator is master of ceremonies
 - Grammatical issues presented first
 - General and specific comments next
 - Goal is to collect comments on entity, *not* to resolve differences
 - Scribes write down comments and who made it (anyone can see it, help scribe, verify comment made)

Conflict Resolution

- After meeting, scribe creates Master Comment List
 - Reviewers mark "Agree" or "Challenge"
 - All comments that everyone "Agree"s are put on Official Comment List
 - Rest must be resolved by reviewers
- Moderator, reviewers then:
 - Accept as is
 - Accept with changes on OCL
 - Reject

Conflict Resolution

- Author takes OCL, makes changes as sees fit
- Author then meets with reviewers
 - Explains how each comment made by reviewer was handled
 - All must be resolved to satisfaction of author, reviewer
- Review completed

Key Points

- Assurance critical for determining trustworthiness of systems
- Different levels of assurance, from informal evidence to rigorous mathematical evidence
- Assurance needed at all stages of system life cycle

Evaluating Systems

- Goals
- Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria
- FIPS 140
- Common Criteria
- SSE-CMM

Overview

- Goals
 - Why evaluate?
- Evaluation criteria
 - TCSEC (*aka* Orange Book)
 - FIPS 140
 - Common Criteria
 - SSE-CMM

Goals

- Show that a system meets specific security requirements under specific conditions
 - Called a *trusted* system
 - Based on specific assurance evidence
- Formal evaluation methodology
 - Technique used to provide measurements of trust based on specific security requirements and evidence of assurance

Evaluation Methodology

- Provides set of requirements defining security functionality for system
- Provides set of assurance requirements delineating steps for establishing that system meets its functional requirements
- Provides methodology for determining that system meets functional requirements based on analysis of assurance evidence
- Provides measure of result indicating how trustworthy system is with respect to security functional requirements
 - Called *level of trust*

Why Evaluate?

- Provides an independent assessment, and measure of assurance, by experts
 - Includes assessment of requirements to see if they are consistent, complete, technically sound, sufficient to counter threats
 - Includes assessment of administrative, user, installation, other documentation that provides information on proper configuration, administration, use of system
- Independence critical
 - Experts bring fresh perspectives, eyes to assessment

Bit of History

- Government, military drove early evaluation processes
 - Their desire to use commercial products led to businesses developing methodologies for evaluating security, trustworthiness of systems
- Methodologies provide combination of
 - Functional requirements
 - Assurance requirements
 - Levels of trust

TCSEC: 1983–1999

- Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria
 - Also known as the Orange Book
 - Series that expanded on Orange Book in specific areas was called *Rainbow Series*
 - Developed by National Computer Security Center, US Dept. of Defense
- Heavily influenced by Bell-LaPadula model and reference monitor concept
- Emphasizes confidentiality
 - Integrity addressed by *-property

- Discretionary access control requirements
 - Control sharing of named objects
 - Address propagation of access rights, ACLs, granularity of controls
- Object reuse requirements
 - Hinder attacker gathering information from disk or memory that has been deleted
 - Address overwriting data, revoking access rights, and assignment of resources when data in resource from previous use is present

- Mandatory access control requirements (B1 up)
 - Simple security condition, *-property
 - Description of hierarchy of labels
- Label requirements (B1 up)
 - Used to enforce MAC
 - Address representation of classifications, clearances, exporting labeled information, human-readable output
- Identification, authentication requirements
 - Address granularity of authentication data, protecting that data, associating identity with auditable actions

- Audit requirements
 - Define what audit records contain, events to be recorded; set increases as other requirements increase
- Trusted path requirements (B2 up)
 - Communications path guaranteed between user, TCB
- System architecture requirements
 - Tamperproof reference validation mechanism
 - Process isolation
 - Enforcement of principle of least privilege
 - Well-defined user interfaces

- Trusted facility management (B2 up)
 Separation of operator, administrator roles
- Trusted recovery (A1)
 - Securely recover after failure or discontinuity
- System integrity requirement
 - Hardware diagnostics to validate on-site hardware, firmware of TCB