Outline for February 13, 2001

1. Greetings and felicitations!
2. Suzuki-Kasami's broadcast protocol
   a. token-based
   b. uses sequence numbers, not clocks
   c. token has sequence numbers, associated queue
   d. how to handle stale requests? token's sequence number too high
3. Raymond's tree-based protocol
   a. token-based
   b. think of token as at root of tree, root moves around
4. Distributed Agreement Protocols: system model
   a. synchronous vs. asynchronous
   b. different types of failure (crash, omission, malicious)
   c. authentication
5. Classification: agreement (on value), validity (the right value)
   a. Byzantine problem (all agree, initial value of source); review Byzantine Generals' problem
   b. consensus problem (all agree, if initial value of nodes is same, the final value is that value)
   c. interactive consistency problem (all agree on same vector, if ith processor non-faulty, ith element of vector is the value of that node)
   d. relationship
6. Solution to Byzantine Problem
   a. Can show: if $3m+1$ processors, at most m can be faulty or agreement cannot be reached.
   b. Demonstration with 3 processors.
   c. Lamport-Shostak-Pease algorithm
7. Application: clock synchronization in the face of faults
   a. interactive convergence algorithm
   b. interactive consistency algorithm

Suzuki-Kasami Broadcast Protocol

Introduction

This is a token-based protocol. Unlike non-token-based ones, it uses the token's being possessed by a site to provide ordering of requests. Clocks and virtual time do not play a role; but order of arrival does.

Notation

- n processes
- p_i process
- $R_i[j]$ largest sequence number p_i has received in a REQUEST message from p_j
- $L[i]$ sequence number of request that p_i has most recently executed
- Q queue (sequence) of sites requesting token
- T = (Q, L) token

Protocol

1. To request entry, if p_i does not have the token, it increments its sequence number $R_i[i]$ and then sends REQUEST(i, s), $s = R_i[i]$, to all other sites.
2. When p_i receives REQUEST(i, s) from p_j, p_i sets $R_i[j] = \max(R_i[j], s)$. If p_i has the token and $R_i[j] = L[j] + 1$, it sends the token to p_j.
3. If p_i is requesting entry and it has or receives the token, it enters the critical section.
4. When p_i finishes executing the critical section:
   a. it sets $L[i] = R_i[i]$;
   b. for every j not in Q and for which $R_i[j] = L[j] + 1$, p_i appends j to Q; and
   c. if Q is not empty, p_i deletes the first element f of Q and sends the token to p_f.

Example

There are three processes, p_1, p_2, and p_3. p_1 and p_3 seek mutually exclusive access to a shared resource.
Initially:the token is at p2 and the token's state is L = [0, 0, 0] and Q empty;
p1's state is C1 = 0, R1 = [0, 0, 0]; p3's state is C1 = 0, R2 = [0, 0, 0]; and p3's state is C3 = 0, R3 = [0, 0, 0]
p1 sends R(1, 1) to p2 and p3; p1's state is C1 = 1, R1 = [1, 0, 0]
p3 sends R(3, 1) to p1 and p2; p3's state is C3 = 1, R3 = [0, 0, 1]
p2 receives R(1, 1) from p1; p2's state is C2 = 1, R2 = [1, 0, 0], holding token
p2 sends the token to p1
p1 receives R(3, 1) from p3; p1's state is C1 = 1, R1 = [1, 0, 1]
p3 receives R(1, 1) from p1; p3's state is C3 = 1, R3 = [1, 0, 1]
p1 receives the token from p2
p1 enters the critical section
p1 exits the critical section and sets the token's state to L = [1, 0, 0] and Q = (3)
p1sends the token to p3; p1's state is C1 = 2, R1 = [1, 0, 1], holding token, token's state is L = [1, 0, 0] and Q empty
p3 receives the token from p1; p3's state is C3 = 1, R3 = [1, 0, 1], holding token
p3 enters the critical section
p3 exits the critical section and sets the token's state to L = [1, 0, 1] and Q empty

Raymond's Tree-Based Protocol

Introduction

This is a token-based protocol. The nodes are arranged in a binary tree, and one acquires the token by going up the tree. The token is always kept at the root, so the tree needs to rearrange itself as the token floats from site to site.

Notation

- n processes
- p_i process
- Q_i request queue (sequence) of sites associated with process p_i
- H_i holder variable associated with process p_i
- T token

Protocol

1. To request entry, if p_i does not have the token, it sends a REQUEST(i) message to the node named in H_i unless Q_i is not empty (because then it has already sent a REQUEST(i) but has not yet received the token). It adds the request to Q_i.
2. When p_i receives REQUEST(j) from p_j:
   a. if p_i does not have the token, it places the REQUEST(j) on Q_i and sends a REQUEST(i) message along (provided that it is not waiting for a response to an earlier REQUEST(i).
   b. if p_i has the token, it sends the token to p_j and sets H_i to j.
3. If p_i is requesting entry and receives the token:
   a. if i is not the first entry in Q_i, it deletes the first entry j from Q_i and forwards the token to p_j. It then sets H_i to j. If Q_i is not empty, p_i sends REQUEST(i) to p_j.
   b. if i is the first entry in Q_i, p_i deletes i from Q_i and enters the critical section.
4. When p_i finishes executing the critical section:
   a. if Q_i is not empty, it deletes the first entry j from Q_i, sends the token to p_j, and sets H_i to j
   b. if after step a Q_i is not empty, p_i sends REQUEST(i) to p_j.

Example

There are six processes, p_1 through p_6. p_1 and p_5 seek mutually exclusive access to a shared resource, and later p_3 will request it.

Initially: p4 has the token;

p1's state is C1 = 0, HOLDER2 = p3, Q1 empty
p2's state is C2 = 0, HOLDER2 = p3, Q2 empty
p3's state is C3 = 0, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 empty
p4's state is C4 = 0, HOLDER4 = p4, Q4 empty, holding token
p5's state is C5 = 0, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 empty
p6's state is C6 = 0, HOLDER6 = p5, Q6 empty

p1 sends Q(1) to p3; p1's state is C1 = 1, HOLDER2 = p3, Q1 = Q(1).
p5 sends Q(5) to p4; p5's state is C5 = 1, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 = Q(5).
p3 receives Q(1) from p1; p3's state is C3 = 0, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 empty.
p3 sends Q(3) to p4; p3's state is C3 = 1, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 = Q(1).
p4 receives Q(5) from p5; p4's state is C4 = 0, HOLDER4 = p4, Q4 empty, holding token.
p4 sends token to p5; p4's state is C4 = 1, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 empty.
p4 receives Q(3) from p3; p4's state is C4 = 1, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 empty.
p4 sends Q(4) to p5; p4's state is C4 = 2, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 = Q(3).
p5 receives token from p4; p5's state is C5 = 1, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 = Q(5).
p5 resets state to C5 = 1, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 empty, holding token.
p5 enters the critical section
p5 leaves the critical section
p5 receives Q(4) from p4; p5's state is C5 = 1, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 empty, holding token.
p5 sends token to p4; p5's state is C5 = 2, HOLDER5 = p4, Q5 empty.
p3 sends Q(3) to p4; p3's state is C3 = 2, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 = Q(1) Q(3).
p4 receives Q(3) from p3; p4's state is C4 = 2, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 = Q(3).
p4's state is C4 = 3, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 = Q(3)Q(3) [it sends nothing as it is waiting for a response]
p4 receives token from p5; p4's state is C4 = 3, HOLDER4 = p5, Q4 = Q(3) Q(3), holding token.
p4 sends token to p3; p4's state is C4 = 3, HOLDER4 = p3, Q4 = Q(3).
p4 sends Q(4) to p3; p4's state is C4 = 3, HOLDER4 = p3, Q4 = Q(3).
p3 receives token from p4; p3's state is C3 = 2, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 = Q(1) Q(3), holding token.
p3 sends token to p1; p3's state is C3 = 3, HOLDER3 = p1, Q3 = Q(3).
p3 sends Q(3) to p1; p3's state is C3 = 4, HOLDER3 = p1, Q3 = Q(3).
p1 receives token from p3; p1's state is C1 = 1, HOLDER1 = p3, Q1 = Q(1), holding token.
p1 resets state to C1 = 1, HOLDER1 = p3, Q1 empty, holding token.
p1 enters the critical section
p1 leaves the critical section
p1 receives Q(3) from p4; p1's state is C1 = 1, HOLDER1 = p3, Q1 empty, holding token.
p1 sends token to p3; p1's state is C1 = 2, HOLDER1 = p3, Q1 empty.
p3 receives token from p1; p3's state is C3 = 4, HOLDER3 = p1, Q3 = Q(3), holding token.
p3 receives Q(4) from p4; p3's state is C3 = 4, HOLDER3 = p1, Q3 = Q(3) Q(4).
p3 resets state to C3 = 4, HOLDER3 = p1, Q3 = Q(4).
p3 enters the critical section
p3 leaves the critical section
p3 sends token to p4; p3's state is C3 = 5, HOLDER3 = p4, Q3 empty, holding token.
p4 receives token from p3; p4's state is p4's state is C4 = 3, HOLDER4 = p3, Q4 = Q(3).
p4 sends token to p3; p4's state is C4 = 4, HOLDER4 = p3, Q4 empty.
p3 receives token from p4; p3's state is C3 = 5, HOLDER5 = p4, Q3 empty.

Lamport-Shostak-Pease Algorithm

Introduction

This is a recursive protocol. It requires $3m+1$ processors where at most m are faulty. It consists of two protocols, the base protocol and the inductive protocol. To run it, determine m from n and invoke OM(m).

Notation

- n processes
- p_i process

Protocol OM(0)

1. The source process sends its value to all processes.
2. Each process uses the value it receives from the source. If it receives no value, it uses a value of 0.

Protocol OM(m), $m > 0$

1. The source process sends its value to all processes.
2. Let v_i be the value process p_i receives from the source. (If it receives no value, then take $v_i = 0$.) Process p_i initiates OM(m–1) with itself as the source and the other n–2 processes as the recipients.
3. Process p_i uses the the value majority(v_1, …, v_{n-1}), where v_i is the value received in step 2 from the source process and the others are the values received from OM(m–1).

Example

There are four processes, p0 through p3. They wish to agree on a value 0 or 1. Let p0 be the initiator, and it has value 1. Assume all processes are non-faulty.

p0 invokes OM(1)
- p0 sends 1 to p1, p2, and p3.
- p1 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
  - p1 sends 1 to p2 and p3.
  - p2 receives value 1.
  - p3 receives value 1.
- p2 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
  - p2 sends 1 to p1 and p3.
  - p1 receives value 1.
  - p3 receives value 1.
- p3 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
  - p3 sends 1 to p1 and p2.
  - p1 receives value 1.
  - p2 receives value 1.
- p1 computes majority (1, 1, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.
- p2 computes majority (1, 1, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.
- p3 computes majority (1, 1, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.

Now assume p2 is faulty and will send a bogus value.
p0 invokes OM(1)
p0 sends 1 to p1, p2, and p3.
p1 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p1 sends 1 to p2 and p3.
p2 receives value 1.
p3 receives value 1.
p2 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p2 sends 0 to p1 and p3.
p1 receives value 0.
p3 receives value 0.
p3 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p3 sends 1 to p1 and p2.
p1 receives value 1.
p2 receives value 1.
p1 computes majority (1, 0, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.
p2 computes majority (1, 1, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.
p3 computes majority (1, 0, 1) and takes the value at the source to be 1.

Now assume p0 is faulty and will send a random value.
p0 invokes OM(1)
p0 sends 1 to p1 and 0 to p2 and p3.
p1 receives 1 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p1 sends 1 to p2 and p3.
p2 receives value 1.
p3 receives value 1.
p2 receives 0 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p2 sends 0 to p1 and p3.
p1 receives value 0.
p3 receives value 0.
p3 receives 0 from p0 and invokes OM(0).
p3 sends 0 to p1 and p2.
p1 receives value 0.
p2 receives value 0.
p1 computes majority (1, 0, 0) and takes the value at the source to be 0.
p2 computes majority (1, 0, 0) and takes the value at the source to be 0.
p3 computes majority (1, 0, 0) and takes the value at the source to be 0.
In this case agreement is reached, but as the source is faulty the result is not valid.

Fault-Tolerant Clock Synchronization

Introduction

The goal is to synchronize the time of clocks on different systems. The protocol includes both faulty and non-faulty clocks. The assumptions are that initially all clocks are synchronized to within some small value δ, that non-faulty clocks run at the correct rate (that is, one tick per second), and a nonfaulty process can read a non-faulty clock with an error of at most ε. In what follows, we shall assume $\varepsilon = 0$.

Notation

- n processes
- p_i process

Interactive Convergence Protocol

This assumes that no two non-faulty clocks differ by more than δ. All processes execute this protocol simultaneously.

1. p_i obtains the value of the other processes' clocks (for example, by using the OM(m) protocol). Call these values $v_1, \ldots, v_n$.
2. For all $j < n$, if $|v_j - v_i| > d$, set $v_j' = v_i$. Otherwise, $v_j' = v_j$.
3. Set p_i's clock to $(\sum_j v_j')/n$.

Example

Suppose p_0, p_1, p_2, and p_3 wish to synchronize their clocks. Take $\delta = 10$, $C_0 = 2$, $C_1 = 5$,$C_2 = 8$, and $C_3 = 10$. Then: after this protocol is used, all the clocks are set to $(2 + 5 + 8 + 10)/4 = 25/4 = 6$.
Now suppose p_3's clock is faulty and drifts to $C_3 = 25$. Then:

- $C_0 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 2)/4 = 17/4 = 4$
- $C_1 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 5)/4 = 20/4 = 5$
- $C_2 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 8)/4 = 23/4 = 6$

After the next round, assuming p_3 reports any value δ away from C_0, C_1, and C_2:

- $C_0 = (4 + 5 + 6 + 4)/4 = 19/4 = 5$
- $C_1 = (4 + 5 + 6 + 5)/4 = 20/4 = 5$
- $C_2 = (4 + 5 + 6 + 6)/4 = 21/4 = 5$

Now assume C_3 is a two-faced clock. The danger is that p_3 will report a value within δ of C_1 to p_1, and not within δ of C_0 and C_2. So, begin with the same values as above, except that p_3 reports $C_3 = 1$ to p_1 and $C_3 = 25$ to p_0 and p_2:

- $C_0 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 2)/4 = 17/4 = 4$
- $C_1 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 1)/4 = 16/4 = 4$
- $C_2 = (2 + 5 + 8 + 8)/4 = 23/4 = 6$

At the next round, p_3 reports $C_3 = 15$ to p_2 and $C_3 = 0$ to p_0 and p_1.

- $C_0 = (4 + 4 + 6 + 0)/4 = 14/4 = 4$
- $C_1 = (4 + 4 + 6 + 0)/4 = 14/4 = 4$
- $C_2 = (4 + 4 + 6 + 15)/4 = 29/4 = 7$

By continuing in this fashion, p_3 can prevent the value of the clocks of the non-faulty processors from converging.

Interactive Consistency Protocol

This assumes that no two non-faulty clocks differ by more than δ. All processes execute this protocol simultaneously.

1. p_i obtains the value of the other processes' clocks (for example, by using the OM(m) protocol). Call these values $v_1, \ldots, v_n$.
2. Set p_i's clock to the median of $v_1, \ldots, v_n$.

Example

Suppose p_0, p_1, p_2, and p_3 wish to synchronize their clocks. Take $\delta = 10$, $C_0 = 2$, $C_1 = 5$,$C_2 = 8$, and $C_3 = 10$. Then: after this protocol is used, all the clocks are set to *median*(2,5, 8, 10) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6.
Now suppose p_3's clock is faulty and drifts to $C_3 = 25$. Then:

- C_0 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6
- C_1 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6
- C_2 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6

Now assume C_3 is a two-faced clock. Begin with the same values as above, except that p_3 reports $C_3 = 1$ to p_1 and $C_3 = 25$ to p_0 and p_2. All apply an agreement protocol:
p_3 invokes OM(1)

p_3 sends 1 to p1 and 25 to p_0 and p_2.
p_0 receives 25 from p_3 and invokes OM(0).
p_0 sends 25 to p_1 and p_2.
p_1 receives value 25.
p_2 receives value 25.
p_1 receives 1 from p_3 and invokes OM(0).
p_1 sends 1 to p_0 and p_2.
p_0 receives value 1.
p_2 receives value 1.
p2 receives 25 from p_3 and invokes OM(0).
p_2 sends 25 to p_0 and p_1.
p_0 receives value 25.
p_1 receives value 25.
p_0 computes majority (25, 1, 25) and takes the value at the source to be 25.
p_1 computes majority (25, 1, 25) and takes the value at the source to be 25.
p_2 computes majority (25, 1, 25) and takes the value at the source to be 25.

- C_0 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6
- C_1 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6
- C_2 = *median*(2, 5, 8, 25) = (5 + 8)/2 = 6

Notice that all arrive at the same value.